

On the Moduli of $SL(2)$ -bundles with Connections on $\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_4\}$

D. Arinkin and S. Lysenko

Introduction

The moduli spaces of bundles with connections on algebraic curves have been studied from various points of view (see [6], [10]). Our interest in this subject was motivated by its relation with the Painlevé equations, and also by the important role of bundles with connections in the geometric Langlands program [4] (for more details see the remarks at the end of the introduction).

In this work, we consider $SL(2)$ -bundles on \mathbf{P}^1 with connections. These connections are supposed to have poles of order 1 at fixed n points, and the eigenvalues $\pm\lambda_i$ of the residues are fixed. We call these bundles $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles. Our aim is to find all invertible sheaves on the moduli space of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles and to compute the cohomology of these sheaves for $n = 4$.

In this work, the ground field is \mathbf{C} , that is, 'space' means ' \mathbf{C} -space', \mathbf{P}^1 means $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^1$, and so on.

Let us formulate the main results of this work.

Fix $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$, $n \geq 4$, $x_i \neq x_j$ for $i \neq j$, and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbf{C}$.

Definition 1. A $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundle on \mathbf{P}^1 is a triple (L, ∇, φ) such that L is a rank 2 vector bundle on \mathbf{P}^1 , $\nabla: L \rightarrow L \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$ is a connection, $\varphi: \wedge^2 L \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}$ is a horizontal isomorphism, and the residue R_i of the connection ∇ at x_i has eigenvalues $\pm\lambda_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

In the sequel, we suppose that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \lambda_i \notin \mathbf{Z} \tag{1}$$

for any (ϵ_i) , $\epsilon_i \in \mu_2 := \{1, -1\}$.

Denote by \mathcal{M} the moduli stack of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles, and by M the corresponding coarse moduli space.

Theorem 1. Suppose that (1) holds and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \neq 0$. Then

- (i) M is a smooth irreducible separated scheme, $\dim M = 2n - 6$, and \mathcal{M} is a μ_2 -gerbe over M ;
- (ii) $H^i(M, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > n - 3$ for any quasicoherent \mathcal{O}_M -module \mathcal{F} ;
- (iii) $\text{Pic } \mathcal{M}$ is the free abelian group with generators $\delta, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$. Here δ (resp. ξ_i) is the invertible sheaf on \mathcal{M} whose fiber over (L, ∇, φ) equals $\det R\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^1, L)$ (resp. $l_i := \text{Ker}(R_i - \lambda_i) \subset L_{x_i}$, $R_i: L_{x_i} \rightarrow L_{x_i}$ is the residue of ∇ at x_i);
- (iv) $\text{Pic } M \subset \text{Pic } \mathcal{M}$ is an index 2 subgroup, $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \notin \text{Pic } M$, $\delta \in \text{Pic } M$;
- (v) the cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H_{\text{ét}}^2(M, \mu_2)$ corresponding to the μ_2 -gerbe $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ is the image of the nonzero element of $2 \text{ Pic } \mathcal{M} / 2 \text{ Pic } M$ via the canonical embedding $\text{Pic } M / 2 \text{ Pic } M \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(M, \mu_2)$. In particular, $[\alpha] \neq 0$. □

Theorem 2. Let $n = 4$. Suppose that (1) holds and $2\lambda_i \notin \mathbf{Z}$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Define $\text{deg}: \text{Pic } M \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ by $\text{deg}(\alpha\delta + \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i \xi_i) := -a$. Let γ be an invertible sheaf on M .

- (i) If $\text{deg } \gamma > 0$, then $\dim H^0(M, \gamma) = \infty$, $H^i(M, \gamma) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.
- (ii) If $\text{deg } \gamma < 0$, then $\dim H^1(M, \gamma) = \infty$, $H^i(M, \gamma) = 0$ for $i \neq 1$.
- (iii) If $\gamma \simeq \mathcal{O}_M$, then $\dim H^0(M, \gamma) = 1$, $H^i(M, \gamma) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.
- (iv) If $\text{deg } \gamma = 0$ and $\gamma \not\simeq \mathcal{O}_M$, then $\dim H^1(M, \gamma) = -[\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle / 2] - 1$, $H^i(M, \gamma) = 0$ for $i \neq 1$. Here the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is defined by

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i \xi_i, \sum_{i=1}^4 b_i \xi_i \right\rangle := -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^4 a_i b_i}{2},$$

and $[a]$ is the integral part of a . □

Let us describe the general plan of this work.

In the first part (Sections 1–3), we study $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles for arbitrary n .

In Section 1, we prove the basic properties of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles. We prove that M is a separated algebraic space. All the results of this section are still valid for any curve.

In Section 2, we construct an affine bundle $M \rightarrow N$, where N is the coarse moduli space of quasiparabolic bundles of a certain kind. We use this construction to prove that

M is a smooth scheme of dimension $2n - 6$ and to show that the cohomological dimension of M is at most $n - 3$.

Section 3 contains the calculation of the Picard group of M . This calculation uses the ideas of [3]. We also compute the cohomology class of the gerbe $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$.

In Sections 4 and 5, we assume that $n = 4$.

In Section 4, we give an explicit geometric description of M . This description goes back to Okamoto ([7], [9]) who studied M as the space of initial conditions of the Painlevé equation P_{VI} rather than the moduli space of bundles with connections.

In Section 5, we compute the cohomology of invertible sheaves on M .

Remarks. (1) The description of $\text{Pic } M$ from Theorem 1 was used in [2] to describe all the isomorphisms between the varieties M for $n = 4$, and thereby to give a geometric explanation of the mysterious symmetries of the P_{VI} equation found by Okamoto [8].

(2) Theorem 2 was used by one of the authors (D. Arinkin) to prove the following orthogonality relations: if $n = 4$ and $x, y \in \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_4\}$, then

$$H^i(\mathcal{M}, \xi_x \otimes \xi_y) = 0 \text{ unless } x = y, i = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$H^0(\mathcal{M}, \xi_x \otimes \xi_x) = \mathbf{C}$$

where ξ_x is the vector bundle on \mathcal{M} whose fiber at (L, ∇, φ) equals L_x . These formulas can be interpreted in terms of the geometric Langlands program.

(3) The results of this paper were announced in [1].

1 $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles

1.1 Basic properties of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles

Let (L, ∇, φ) be a $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundle.

Proposition 1. (L, ∇) is irreducible (i.e., there is no rank 1 ∇ -invariant subbundle $L_1 \subset L$).

□

Proof. Suppose there is an invariant rank 1 subbundle $L_1 \subset L$. Then $\nabla_1 := \nabla|_{L_1}$ is a connection on L_1 . $(L_1)_{x_i} \subset L_{x_i}$ is an eigenspace of $R_i := \text{res}_{x_i}(\nabla)$. Hence $\text{res}_{x_i}(\nabla_1)$ is an eigenvalue of R_i , that is, $\text{res}_{x_i}(\nabla_1) = \pm\lambda_i$. But $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{res}_{x_i}(\nabla_1) = -\text{deg } L_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$. This contradicts (1). ■

Remark 4. Denote by V the fiber of L over the generic point of \mathbf{P}^1 . V is a 2-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{C}(z)$ (here $\text{Spec } \mathbf{C}(z) \in \mathbf{P}^1$ is the generic point); 1-dimensional subspaces of V correspond to rank 1 subbundles of L . ∇ induces a \mathbf{C} -linear morphism $V \rightarrow V \otimes_{\mathbf{C}(z)}$

$\Omega_{\text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}(z))}$. So the proposition implies that V is irreducible (as a $\mathbf{C}(z)$ -space) with respect to this morphism.

Corollary 1. The only automorphisms of (L, ∇, φ) are 1 and -1 (in other words, the group of automorphisms of (L, ∇, φ) is μ_2). □

Proof. Let A be any automorphism of (L, ∇, φ) . Clearly it has an eigenvalue $e \in \mathbf{C}$. Then $\text{Ker}(A - e) \subset L$ is an invariant subbundle, $\text{Ker}(A - e) \neq 0$, so $\text{Ker}(A - e) = L$ and $A = e$. But $\det(A) = 1$, so $A = \pm 1$. ■

Corollary 2. Let $L_1 \subset L$ be a rank 1 subbundle. Then $\deg L_1 \leq (n - 2)/2$. □

Proof. By Proposition 1, the map $L_1 \rightarrow (L/L_1) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$ induced by ∇ is not zero. So $\deg L_1 \leq \deg(L/L_1) + n - 2$. The corollary easily follows. ■

Remark. Let us consider $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles on a curve of genus $g > 0$. Then Proposition 1 is still true, and Corollary 2 has the form

$$\deg L_1 \leq \frac{n + 2g - 2}{2}.$$

1.2 Moduli space of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles

The notion of a family of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles on \mathbf{P}^1 is defined in the usual way. $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles on \mathbf{P}^1 form a stack \mathcal{M} . So \mathcal{M}_S (the category of 1-morphisms from S to \mathcal{M}) is the category of families of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles parametrized by a scheme S .

Proposition 2. \mathcal{M} is a separated algebraic stack. □

Proof. Denote by $\text{Bun}_{\text{SL}(2)}\mathbf{P}^1$ the moduli stack of $\text{SL}(2)$ -bundles on \mathbf{P}^1 . It is well known ([5, Theorem 4.14.2.1]) that $\text{Bun}_{\text{SL}(2)}\mathbf{P}^1$ is an algebraic stack. Clearly the natural map $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{\text{SL}(2)}\mathbf{P}^1$ is a representable (and even affine) 1-morphism of stacks. Hence \mathcal{M} is algebraic.

Using the valuative criterion for algebraic stacks ([5, Theorem 3.19, Remark 3.20.2]), one can derive from Lemma 1 that \mathcal{M} is separated. ■

Lemma 1. Let A be a discrete valuation ring, K the fraction field of A , $\eta := \text{Spec}(K)$, $y_0 = (L_0, \nabla_0, \varphi_0) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{M}_\eta)$ (i.e., y_0 is a family of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles parametrized by η). If an extension of y_0 to $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{M}_U)$, $U := \text{Spec}(A)$ exists, it is unique. □

Proof. Let $y_i = (L_i, \nabla_i, \varphi_i) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{M}_U)$, $i = 1, 2$ be two extensions of y_0 . Denote by \mathcal{F}_i the sheaf of sections of L_i , $i = 0, 1, 2$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_0$ be the direct image of \mathcal{F}_0 to $U \times \mathbf{P}^1$. Then ∇_0 (resp. φ_0) induces a connection $\nabla: \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_0 \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_0 \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$ (resp. a horizontal isomorphism

$\varphi: \Lambda^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\eta \times \mathbf{P}^1}$). Since y_i is an extension of y_0 , \mathcal{F}_i is identified with a subsheaf of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_0$; this identification agrees with ∇ and φ . Set $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_2$.

Denote by k the residue field of A (so $\text{Spec } k \in U$ is the special point), and by $p \in \mathbf{P}_k^1 \subset U \times \mathbf{P}^1$ the generic point of the special fiber $\mathbf{P}_k^1 \subset U \times \mathbf{P}^1$.

There is $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}_k^1) \not\subset \mathcal{F}_i$. We may assume that $i = 1$.

Denote by V_1 the fiber of L_1 over p , and by $V \subset V_1$ the image of $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_1$. Since $\mathcal{F} \not\subset \mathcal{F}_1(-\mathbf{P}_k^1)$, we have $V \neq 0$.

$\nabla(\mathcal{F}_i) \subset \mathcal{F}_i \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$, so $\nabla(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$. Therefore $V \subset V_1$ is ∇ -invariant and, by Remark 4, $V = V_1$.

$\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_1$ is locally free so $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 \supset \mathcal{F}_1$. But $\varphi(\Lambda^2 \mathcal{F}_1) = \varphi(\Lambda^2 \mathcal{F}_2)$, so $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}_1$. ■

For a scheme S , denote by $\underline{M}(S)$ the set of isomorphism classes of families of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles parametrized by S . Denote by M the sheaf for the fppf-topology associated with the presheaf \underline{M} .

By Corollary 1, \mathcal{M} is a μ_2 -gerbe over M . In particular, the 1-morphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ is smooth, surjective, and proper. This implies that M is a separated algebraic space (M is the coarse moduli space of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles).

2 Structure of affine bundle on M

2.1 Quasiparabolic bundles

A quasiparabolic $SL(2)$ -bundle on \mathbf{P}^1 is a collection $(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n)$ such that L is a rank 2 vector bundle on \mathbf{P}^1 , $\varphi: \Lambda^2 L \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}$, and $l_i \subset L_{x_i}$ is a 1-dimensional subspace. Quasiparabolic $SL(2)$ -bundles form a stack $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$. Using the same arguments as in Proposition 2, one can prove that $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ is algebraic.

Suppose that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \neq 0$. For a $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundle (L, ∇, φ) , we construct a quasiparabolic $SL(2)$ -bundle $(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n)$ by setting $l_i := \text{Ker}(R_i - \lambda_i)$, where $R_i: L_{x_i} \rightarrow L_{x_i}$ is the residue of ∇ at x_i . This yields a morphism $\bar{f}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{N}}$. Let us give an explicit description of the image of \bar{f} .

Proposition 3. For a quasiparabolic $SL(2)$ -bundle $(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n)$, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n)$ belongs to the image of $\bar{f}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{N}}$;
- (ii) $\text{Aut}(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n) = \mu_2$;
- (ii') $\text{End}(L, l_1, \dots, l_n) = \mathbf{C}$;
- (iii) $(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n)$ is indecomposable; that is, there are no $L_1, L_2 \neq 0$ such that $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$, and for any i , either $l_i = (L_1)_{x_i}$ or $l_i = (L_2)_{x_i}$. □

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (iii). Suppose $(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n)$ belongs to the image of \bar{f} ; that is, there is a $\nabla: L \rightarrow L \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$ such that (L, ∇, φ) is a $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundle and $l_i = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{R}_i - \lambda_i)$. Suppose $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$ for $L_1, L_2 \neq 0$. The composition $\nabla_1: L_1 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\nabla} L \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n) \rightarrow L_1 \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$ is a connection on L_1 . (I) implies that $\text{res}_{x_i} \nabla_1 \neq \pm \lambda_i$ for some i . It is easy to prove that $l_i \neq (L_1)_{x_i}, (L_2)_{x_i}$ for this i .

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii'). Suppose $A \in \text{End}(L, l_1, \dots, l_n)$. Denote by $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbf{C}$ the eigenvalues of A . If $e_1 \neq e_2$, L can be decomposed to the direct sum of the eigenspaces of A .

Assume that $e_1 = e_2$. Replacing A by $A - e_1$, we can assume that $e_1 = e_2 = 0$. Let us prove that $A = 0$. Assume the converse. Then $L_1 := \text{Ker}(A) \subset L$ is a rank 1 subbundle. Set $T := \{i | l_i \neq (L_1)_{x_i}\}$. Locally on \mathbf{P}^1 we can construct L_2 such that $L_1 \oplus L_2 = L, (L_2)_{x_i} = l_i$ for $i \in T$. Obstructions to global existence of L_2 lie in $H^1(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{H}om(L/L_1, L_1)(-\sum_{i \in T} x_i))$. Since (L, l_1, \dots, l_n) is indecomposable, this space is not zero. So $\text{deg}(\mathcal{H}om(L/L_1, L_1)(-\sum_{i \in T} x_i)) < -1$, and $\{A_1 \in \text{Hom}(L/L_1, L_1) | A_1(x_i) = 0 \text{ for } i \in T\} = H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{H}om(L/L_1, L_1)(-\sum_{i \in T} x_i)) = 0$. Clearly A induces a map $A_1: L/L_1 \rightarrow L_1$ such that $A_1(x_i) = 0$ for $i \in T$. Hence $A_1 = 0$ and $A = 0$.

(ii') \Rightarrow (i). Let us construct a connection $\nabla: L \rightarrow L \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$ such that (L, ∇, φ) is a $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundle, and $l_i = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{R}_i - \lambda_i)$. This can be done locally on \mathbf{P}^1 . The obstructions to global construction lie in $H^1(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E} := \{A \in \mathcal{E}nd_0(L) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_n) | (\text{res}_{x_i} A)(l_i) = 0\}$. Here $\mathcal{E}nd_0(L) := \{A \in \mathcal{E}nd(L) | \text{tr} A = 0\}$. By Serre's duality theorem, $H^1(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{E})$ is dual to $H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \{A \in \mathcal{E}nd_0(L) | A(x_i)(l_i) \subset l_i\}) = \{A \in \text{End}(L, l_1, \dots, l_n) | \text{tr}(A) = 0\} = 0$. So there is a global ∇ with such properties.

(ii') \Rightarrow (ii). This implication is obvious since $\text{Aut}(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n) = \{A \in \text{End}(L, l_1, \dots, l_n) | \det(A) = 1\}$.

(not (iii)) \Rightarrow (not (ii)). Let $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$ be a decomposition of L . Then $a \oplus a^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n)$ for $a \in \mathbf{C}^*$. ■

Remark. The proof of the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (ii') does not work for curves of genus $g > 0$, because it uses the following property of \mathbf{P}^1 : for every line bundle \mathcal{F} on \mathbf{P}^1 , either $H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ or $H^1(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{F}) = 0$.

If $(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n)$ satisfies the equivalent conditions of Proposition 3, the fiber of \bar{f} over $(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n)$ consists of all $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundles (L, ∇, φ) such that $l_i = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{R}_i - \lambda_i)$. Such ∇ form an affine space of dimension $n - 3$ because the corresponding vector space is dual to $H^1(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{E}nd_0(L, l_1, \dots, l_n))$, and the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{E}nd_0(L, l_1, \dots, l_n)$ equals $\chi(\mathcal{E}nd_0 L) - n = 3 - n$.

Denote by $\mathcal{N} \subset \bar{\mathcal{N}}$ the open substack defined by condition (ii') from Proposition 3. \bar{f} induces the morphism $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, which is a locally trivial affine bundle with fibers of dimension $n - 3$.

Denote by \mathcal{N} the coarse moduli space of indecomposable quasiparabolic $SL(2)$ -bundles on \mathbf{P}^1 . The construction of the algebraic space \mathcal{N} is similar to that of \mathcal{M} (see Section 1.2). \mathcal{N} is a μ_2 -gerbe over \mathcal{N} .

2.2 Modifications

Suppose L is a rank 2 bundle on \mathbf{P}^1 , $x \in \mathbf{P}^1$, and $l \subset L_x$ is a dimension 1 subspace. Denote by \mathcal{L} the sheaf of sections of L . The *lower (resp. upper) (x, l) -modification* of L is the rank 2 bundle on \mathbf{P}^1 whose sheaf of sections is $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} := \{s \in \mathcal{L} \mid s(x) \in l\}$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x)$). If \tilde{L} is the lower (x, l) -modification of L , the image of the natural map $\tilde{L}_x \rightarrow L_x$ is l . Denote by $\tilde{l} \subset \tilde{L}_x$ the kernel of this map. Then L is the upper (x, \tilde{l}) -modification of \tilde{L} .

Suppose (L, l_1, \dots, l_n) is a *quasiparabolic bundle on \mathbf{P}^1* (i.e., L is a rank 2 bundle on \mathbf{P}^1 , and $l_i \subset L_{x_i}$ is a dimension 1 subspace). Then the lower (x_i, l_i) -modification \tilde{L} of L has a natural structure of a quasiparabolic bundle, namely, $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_i, \dots, l_n)$, where $\tilde{l}_i := \text{Ker}(\tilde{L}_{x_i} \rightarrow L_{x_i})$. Similarly, the upper (x_i, l_i) -modification of (L, l_1, \dots, l_n) is a quasiparabolic bundle.

Clearly $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_i, \dots, l_n)$ is indecomposable if and only if (L, l_1, \dots, l_n) is indecomposable.

Lemma 2. Suppose (L, l_1, \dots, l_n) is an indecomposable quasiparabolic bundle on \mathbf{P}^1 . Then making (x_i, l_i) -modifications in some of the points x_i , one can transform (L, l_1, \dots, l_n) to (L', l'_1, \dots, l'_n) such that $L' \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(k')^2$ for some k' . □

Proof. Since L is a rank 2 bundle on \mathbf{P}^1 , $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(k) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(l)$ for some $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}$, $k \geq l$. The proof is given by induction on $k - l$.

For $k - l = 0$, there is nothing to prove.

Suppose $k - l > 0$. Denote by $L_1 \subset L$ the rank 1 subbundle of degree k . Since (L, l_1, \dots, l_n) is indecomposable, $l_i \neq (L_1)_{x_i}$ for some i . Let \tilde{L} be the lower (x_i, l_i) -modification of L . Then L_1 defines a rank 1 subbundle $\tilde{L}_1 \subset \tilde{L}$ of degree $k - 1$. Clearly $\tilde{L}/\tilde{L}_1 = L/L_1$, so $\text{deg}(\tilde{L}/\tilde{L}_1) = l$. Hence $\tilde{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(k - 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(l)$. By the induction hypothesis, \tilde{L} can be modified to (L', l'_1, \dots, l'_n) such that $L' \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(k')^2$ for some $k' \in \mathbf{Z}$. ■

Let us return to the case of $SL(2)$ -bundles.

Let $(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n)$ be a quasiparabolic $SL(2)$ -bundle, $T \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$. Denote by (L', l'_1, \dots, l'_n) the lower modification of (L, l_1, \dots, l_n) at (x_i, l_i) for all $i \in T$ (clearly, modifications at different points commute). Then φ induces an isomorphism $\varphi': \Lambda^2 L' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-\sum_{i \in T} x_i)$. Suppose that $\text{Card } T = 2k$, where $\text{Card } T$ is the number of elements of the set T . We choose an isomorphism $s: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(2kx_1 - \sum_{i \in T} x_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}$. $s \circ \varphi'$ gives a structure

of quasiparabolic $SL(2)$ -bundle on $L'(kx_1)$. This defines an automorphism $\bar{f}_T: \bar{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{N}$. Since $\bar{f}_T(N) = N$, this gives $f_T: N \xrightarrow{\sim} N$. Obviously, f_T does not depend on s .

Denote by Γ the set of all $T \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\text{Card } T$ is even. Γ is an abelian group with respect to the product $T_1 \Delta T_2 := (T_1 \cup T_2) \setminus (T_1 \cap T_2)$.

Proposition 4. (i) $f_{T_1} \circ f_{T_2} = f_{T_1 \Delta T_2}$ ($T_1, T_2 \in \Gamma$).

(ii) Denote by $N_0 \subset N$ the open subspace formed by trivial $SL(2)$ -bundles (i.e., $(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n) \in N_0$ if and only if $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}^2$). Then $\bigcup_{T \in \Gamma} f_T(N_0) = N$. □

Proof. Statement (i) is obvious. Statement (ii) follows from Lemma 2. ■

2.3 Geometry of N

Let N_0 have the same meaning as in Proposition 4(ii).

Lemma 3. N_0 is a smooth irreducible nonseparated scheme of dimension $n - 3$. □

Proof. Denote by U the set of $(l_1, \dots, l_n) \in (\mathbf{P}^1)^n$ such that there are at least three different points among l_1, \dots, l_n . Then $N_0 = PGL(2) \setminus U$. Set $U_{ijk} := \{(l_1, \dots, l_n) \in (\mathbf{P}^1)^n \mid l_i \neq l_j, l_j \neq l_k, l_i \neq l_k\} \subset U$, where $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$. Then $U_{ijk} \subset U$ is open, $\bigcup_{i,j,k} U_{ijk} = U$, and $\bigcap_{i,j,k} U_{ijk} = \{(l_1, \dots, l_n) \in (\mathbf{P}^1)^n \mid l_i \neq l_j \text{ for } i \neq j\} \neq \emptyset$. So N_0 is covered by pairwise intersecting open subsets $PGL(2) \setminus U_{ijk}$. Finally, $PGL(2) \setminus U_{ijk} \simeq (\mathbf{P}^1)^{n-3}$. ■

Proposition 5. N is a smooth irreducible nonseparated scheme of dimension $n - 3$. □

Proof. Since N is covered by $f_T(N_0)$, $T \in \Gamma$ (Proposition 4), and N_0 is a smooth irreducible nonseparated scheme (Lemma 3), it is enough to prove that $f_T(N_0) \cap N_0 \neq \emptyset$.

Any T can be represented as a product of $T_{ij} = \{i, j\} \in \Gamma$, $i \neq j$. Since N_0 is irreducible, it is enough to prove that $N_0 \cap f_{T_{ij}}(N_0) \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, $N_0 \cap f_{T_{ij}}(N_0) = PGL(2) \setminus \{(l_1, \dots, l_n) \in U \mid l_i = l_j\} \neq \emptyset$. ■

Using the affine bundle $f: M \rightarrow N$, one derives statements (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 from Proposition 5.

Remark. In the special case $n = 4$, one can prove the following explicit description of N :

There is a map $N \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ that identifies N and ‘the projective line with doubled points x_1, \dots, x_4 .’ In other words, N can be obtained by glueing two copies of \mathbf{P}^1 outside x_1, \dots, x_4 .

3 Invertible sheaves on \mathcal{M}

3.1 Calculation of $\text{Pic } \overline{\mathcal{N}}$

Denote by ξ_i (resp. δ) the invertible sheaf on $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ whose fiber over $(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n)$ is l_i (resp. $\det R\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^1, L)$).

Notation. For the sake of simplicity, we write ξ_i (resp. δ) for the inverse image of ξ_i (resp. δ) to \mathcal{M} .

The following proposition is an easy, special case of the general theorem due to Y. Laszlo and C. Sorger in [3, Theorem 1.1].

Proposition 6. $\text{Pic } \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ is the free abelian group with basis δ, ξ_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). □

Remark. The proof by Y. Laszlo and C. Sorger is based on the techniques of affine Grassmannians. In our situation, Proposition 6 for $n = 0$ follows from the well-known description of the isomorphism classes of $SL(2)$ -bundles on \mathbf{P}^1 , and the case of an arbitrary n is easily reduced to $n = 0$.

3.2 Calculation of $\text{Pic } \mathcal{M}$

Lemma 4. $\text{codim}(\overline{\mathcal{N}} \setminus \mathcal{N}) \geq 2$. □

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{N}_d the moduli stack of decompositions. In other words, \mathcal{N}_d parametrizes $(L = L_1 \oplus L_2, \varphi; l_1, \dots, l_n)$ such that $(L, \varphi, l_1, \dots, l_n)$ is a quasiparabolic $SL(2)$ -bundle, $\text{rk } L_1 = \text{rk } L_2 = 1$, and for any $i = 1, \dots, n$, either $l_i = (L_1)_{x_i}$ or $l_i = (L_2)_{x_i}$. Connected components of \mathcal{N}_d are parametrized by $(\deg L_1, \{i | l_i = (L_1)_{x_i}\})$; hence the set of these components is countable. Besides, each component is of dimension -1 .

Consider the natural map $\mathcal{N}_d \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{N}}$. Its image is $\overline{\mathcal{N}} \setminus \mathcal{N}$, so $\dim \overline{\mathcal{N}} \setminus \mathcal{N} \leq -1$. On the other hand, $\dim \overline{\mathcal{N}} = n - 3 \geq 1$. ■

Corollary 3. $\text{Pic } \mathcal{M} = \text{Pic } \mathcal{N} = \text{Pic } \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ is the free abelian group with basis $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \delta$. □

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is an affine bundle, $\text{Pic } \mathcal{M} = \text{Pic } \mathcal{N}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ is a smooth stack, Lemma 4 implies $\text{Pic } \mathcal{N} = \text{Pic } \overline{\mathcal{N}}$. Now the corollary follows from Proposition 6. ■

Proposition 7. $\text{Pic } \mathcal{M} \subset \text{Pic } \mathcal{M}$ is the subgroup of index 2 such that $\delta \in \text{Pic } \mathcal{M}$, $\xi_i \notin \text{Pic } \mathcal{M}$. □

Proof. Since \mathcal{M} is a μ_2 -gerbe over M , any $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -module has a natural action of μ_2 . An $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -module is an \mathcal{O}_M -module if and only if this action is trivial. It follows from the definitions that $-1 \in \mu_2$ acts as -1 on ξ_i and acts as 1 on δ . ■

We have proved statements (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 1. Statement (v) is a particular case of the following lemma.

Lemma 5. Let X be an algebraic space, $i: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ a μ_2 -gerbe, $[\alpha] \in H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mu_2)$ the corresponding cohomology class, and $\gamma \in \text{Pic } \mathcal{X}$ the isomorphism class of a sheaf \mathcal{E} such that $-1 \in \mu_2$ acts on \mathcal{E} as -1 . Then $[\alpha] = c_1(\gamma^{\otimes 2})$, where $c_1: \text{Pic } \mathcal{X} \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mu_2)$ is the Chern class. □

Proof. Fix a sheaf \mathcal{F} in the class $\gamma^{\otimes 2} \in \text{Pic } \mathcal{X}$. Denote by $Sqr \mathcal{F}$ the μ_2 -gerbe of square roots of \mathcal{F} defined by $(Sqr \mathcal{F})_S := \{(f: S \rightarrow X, \mathcal{E}', \psi) | \mathcal{E}' \text{ is an invertible sheaf on } S, \psi: (\mathcal{E}')^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} f^*(\mathcal{F})\}$.

An isomorphism $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} i^*\mathcal{F}$ yields a 1-morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow Sqr \mathcal{F}$. Since $-1 \in \mu_2$ acts on \mathcal{E} as -1 , this is a μ_2 -gerbe morphism. So μ_2 -gerbes \mathcal{X} and $Sqr \mathcal{F}$ are isomorphic.

Let $\Gamma := \text{Isom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{F})$ be the \mathbf{G}_m -torsor corresponding to \mathcal{F} . Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mu_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^2} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow 0$. The corresponding map $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbf{G}_m) = \text{Pic } \mathcal{X} \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mu_2)$ is c_1 . Now it is enough to notice that $Sqr \mathcal{F}$ is the gerbe of liftings of Γ with respect to

$$\mathbf{G}_m \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^2} \mathbf{G}_m. \quad \blacksquare$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4 Geometric description of M

Suppose that $n = 4$, $\lambda_i \neq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, 4$), and $\lambda_1 \neq 1/2$. Recall that M is the coarse moduli space of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4)$ -bundles. The aim of this section is to prove the following statement:

Set $K := \mathbf{V}((\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_4))^*)$ (i.e., K is the vector bundle whose sheaf of sections is $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_4)$). Denote by $b_i \subset K$ the fiber over $x_i \in \mathbf{P}^1$. Since $(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_4))_{x_i} = \mathbf{C}$, there is a natural isomorphism $r_i: b_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{A}^1$. Set $\lambda_i^\pm := \pm \lambda_i$ for $i \neq 1$, $\lambda_1^+ := \lambda_1$, $\lambda_1^- := 1 - \lambda_1$, $c_i^\pm := r_i^{-1}(\lambda_i^\pm) \in b_i$. For every i , one has $\lambda_i^+ \neq \lambda_i^-$, so $c_i^+ \neq c_i^-$.

Theorem 3. Denote by \tilde{M} the blow-up of K in c_i^\pm . Then there is an open embedding $M \hookrightarrow \tilde{M}$ such that $\tilde{M} \setminus M$ is the union of the proper preimages of $b_i \subset K$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$. □

4.1 Construction of $M \rightarrow K$

Denote by M_1 the coarse moduli space of triples $(\tilde{L}, \nabla, \varphi)$ such that \tilde{L} is a rank 2 vector bundle on \mathbf{P}^1 , $\nabla: \tilde{L} \rightarrow \tilde{L} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_4)$ is a connection, $\varphi: \Lambda^2 \tilde{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-x_1)$ is a horizontal isomorphism, and the residue \tilde{R}_i of ∇ at x_i has eigenvalues λ_i^\pm . For any $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ -bundle (L, ∇, φ) , consider the lower (x_1, l_1) -modification \tilde{L} of L . Here $l_1 := \text{Ker}(\mathcal{R}_1 - \lambda_1) \subset L_{x_1}$. The

triple $(\tilde{L}, \nabla|_{\tilde{L}}, \varphi|_{\tilde{L}})$ corresponds to a point of M_1 . This gives us a map $M \rightarrow M_1$. The upper modification of $(\tilde{L}, \nabla, \varphi)$ defines the inverse map, so $M \simeq M_1$.

Since (\tilde{L}, ∇) is irreducible, $\tilde{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-1)$ (see Corollary 2). So there is a unique subsheaf $\tilde{L}_0 \subset \tilde{L}$ such that $\tilde{L}_0 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}$. There is a unique connection $d: \tilde{L}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{L}_0 \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}$. The correspondence $(\tilde{L}, \nabla, \varphi) \mapsto (\tilde{L}_0 \subset \tilde{L}, \nabla|_{\tilde{L}_0} - d, \varphi)$ gives a map $M_1 \rightarrow K_1$, where K_1 is the coarse moduli space of collections $(\tilde{L}_0 \subset \tilde{L}, A, \varphi)$ such that $(\tilde{L}_0 \subset \tilde{L}) \simeq (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-1))$, $\varphi: \Lambda^2 \tilde{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-x_1)$, $A \in \text{Hom}(\tilde{L}_0, \tilde{L} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_4))$, and $\text{Im } A \not\subset \tilde{L}_0 \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_4)$.

Proposition 8. K_1 is isomorphic to K . □

Proof. Set $\Omega' := \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_4)$. Denote by K_2 the moduli space of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \subset \tilde{L}, B)$ such that $\tilde{L}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-1)$, $B: (\Omega')^{-1} \rightarrow \tilde{L}$, and $\text{Im } B \not\subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}$. Suppose $(\tilde{L}_0 \subset \tilde{L}, A, \varphi)$ corresponds to a point of K_1 . A induces a morphism $B: (\Omega')^{-1} = (\Omega' \otimes \tilde{L}_0)^{-1} \otimes \tilde{L}_0 \rightarrow (\Omega' \otimes \tilde{L}_0)^{-1} \otimes (\tilde{L} \otimes \Omega') = \tilde{L}_0^{-1} \otimes \tilde{L}$. Clearly $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} = \tilde{L}_0^{-1} \otimes \tilde{L}_0 \subset \tilde{L}_0^{-1} \otimes \tilde{L}, B)$ corresponds to a point of K_2 . This yields a morphism $K_1 \rightarrow K_2$. It is not hard to check that this is an isomorphism. Using B , we consider $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \oplus (\Omega')^{-1}$ as a subsheaf of \tilde{L} . So K_2 is isomorphic to the moduli space of locally free sheaves $\tilde{L} \supset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \oplus (\Omega')^{-1}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}$ is a subbundle (not only a subsheaf) of \tilde{L} , and $\tilde{L}/(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \oplus (\Omega')^{-1})$ is a sky-scraper sheaf with 1-dimensional space of sections. Such \tilde{L} are the upper (x, l) -modifications of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \oplus (\Omega')^{-1}$ for $x \in \mathbf{P}^1$, $l \subset \mathbf{C} \oplus ((\Omega')^{-1})_x$, $l \neq \mathbf{C}$. The space of such pairs (x, l) is identified with K . Hence $K_1 = K_2 = K$. ■

This yields a map $M \rightarrow K_1 = K$.

4.2 Local calculations

Lemma 6. Suppose $(\tilde{L}_0 \subset \tilde{L}, A, \varphi)$ corresponds to a point of K_1 , \tilde{R}_i is an operator $\tilde{L}_{x_i} \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{x_i}$ such that the eigenvalues of \tilde{R}_i are λ_i^\pm , and $\tilde{R}_i|_{\tilde{L}_0|_{x_i}}$ coincides with the residue of A at x_i . Then there is a unique connection ∇ such that the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\nabla|_{\tilde{L}_0} = A + d$, where $d: \tilde{L}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{L}_0 \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}$ is the unique connection;
- (ii) $\tilde{R}_i = \text{res}_{x_i} \nabla$;
- (iii) $(\tilde{L}, \nabla, \varphi)$ corresponds to a point of M_1 . □

Proof. It is easy to see that such a ∇ exists locally on \mathbf{P}^1 . Let ∇_1, ∇_2 be two connections defined on some open set $U \subset \mathbf{P}^1$ such that (i)–(iii) are satisfied. Set $E := \nabla_1 - \nabla_2$. Then we have:

- (i') $E \in H^0(U, \mathcal{H}om(L, L \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}))$;
- (ii') $E|_{\tilde{L}_0} = 0$;
- (iii') $\text{tr } E = 0$.

Conversely, if a connection ∇ on U satisfies (i)–(iii), and E satisfies (i')–(iii'), then the connection $\nabla + E$ on U satisfies (i)–(iii). Denote by $\mathcal{C}(U)$ the set of all connections on

\mathcal{U} satisfying (i)–(iii), and denote by $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U})$ the set of all E satisfying (i')–(iii'). $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U})$ form a sheaf of sets \mathcal{C} , and $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U})$ form a sheaf of abelian groups \mathcal{E} . Clearly, \mathcal{C} is an \mathcal{E} -torsor and $\mathcal{E} = \{E \in \mathcal{H}om(L, L \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}) : E|_{L_0} = 0; \text{tr } E = 0\} = \mathcal{H}om(L/L_0, L_0 \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1})$. $\text{deg } \mathcal{E} = -1$, so any \mathcal{E} -torsor is trivial and has a unique global section. Hence there is a unique $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}^1)$ that satisfies (i)–(iii) on \mathbf{P}^1 . ■

We need the following simple lemma from linear algebra.

Lemma 7. Suppose V is a vector space, $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} V = 2$, $V_0 \subset V$, $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} V_0 = 1$, $R_0 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(V_0, V)$, $\lambda^{\pm} \in \mathbf{C}$, $\lambda^+ \neq \lambda^-$. Set $\mathcal{R} := \{R \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{C}}(V) : R|_{V_0} = R_0, \text{ the eigenvalues of } R \text{ are } \lambda^+, \lambda^-\}$ and $\mathcal{L} := \{(l^+, l^-) | l^{\pm} \subset V, \dim_{\mathbf{C}} l^{\pm} = 1, l^{\pm} \supset (R_0 - \lambda^{\mp})V_0, l^+ \neq l^-\}$.

The map $F : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} : R \mapsto (\text{Ker}(R - \lambda^+) = \text{Im}(R - \lambda^-), \text{Ker}(R - \lambda^-))$ is bijective. □

Proof. F is clearly injective. Let us prove surjectivity.

For $(l^+, l^-) \in \mathcal{L}$, denote by P^{\pm} the projector $V \rightarrow V/l^{\mp} \cong l^{\pm}$ (so $P^+ + P^- = \text{Id}$). The condition $l^{\pm} \supset (R_0 - \lambda^{\mp})V_0$ implies $P^{\mp}(R_0 - \lambda^{\mp})V_0 = 0$. So $(P^-(R_0 - \lambda^-) + P^+(R_0 - \lambda^+))V_0 = 0$, or equivalently, $R_0 = (\lambda^+P^+ + \lambda^-P^-)|_{V_0}$. Hence $R := (\lambda^+P^+ + \lambda^-P^-) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $F(R) = (l^+, l^-)$. ■

Lemmas 6 and 7 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 4. M_1 is identified with the coarse moduli space of $(\tilde{L}_0 \subset \tilde{L}, A, \varphi; \tilde{l}_1^+, \tilde{l}_1^-, \dots, \tilde{l}_4^+, \tilde{l}_4^-)$ such that:

- (i) $(\tilde{L}_0 \subset \tilde{L}, A, \varphi)$ corresponds to a point of K_1 ;
- (ii) $\tilde{l}_i^{\pm} \subset \tilde{L}_{x_i}$ is a subspace such that $\dim \tilde{l}_i^{\pm} = 1$, $(\text{res}_{x_i} A - \lambda_i^{\mp})(\tilde{L}_0)_{x_i} \subset \tilde{l}_i^{\pm}$;
- (iii) $\tilde{l}_i^+ \neq \tilde{l}_i^-$. □

Denote by \tilde{M}_1 the coarse moduli space of $(\tilde{L}_0 \subset \tilde{L}, A, \varphi; \tilde{l}_1^+, \tilde{l}_1^-, \dots, \tilde{l}_4^+, \tilde{l}_4^-)$ such that conditions (i)–(ii) of Corollary 4 are satisfied. Then M_1 is identified with the open subset of \tilde{M}_1 defined by (iii).

Denote by $\tilde{\xi}_{x_i}$ (resp. $\tilde{\delta}$) the bundle on K_1 whose fiber over (\tilde{L}, A, φ) is \tilde{L}_{x_i} (resp. $(\tilde{L}_0)_{x_i} = \det R\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^1, \tilde{L})$). The map $(\text{res}_{x_i} A - \lambda_i^{\mp}) : (\tilde{L}_0)_{x_i} \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{x_i}$ for variable (\tilde{L}, A, φ) defines a morphism $\tilde{\delta} \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}_{x_i}$. This morphism $\tilde{\delta} \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}_{x_i}$ has a unique simple zero in c_i^{\mp} . This proves that the natural map $\tilde{M}_1 \rightarrow K_1$ is the blow-up at c_i^{\pm} , $i = 1, \dots, 4$. It is easy to see that the closed subset of \tilde{M}_1 defined by the equation $\tilde{l}_i^+ = \tilde{l}_i^-$ is the proper preimage of b_i , so $\tilde{M}_1 \setminus M_1$ is the union of these proper preimages.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. ■

4.3 Description of invertible sheaves on M

Denote by $b_i^{\pm} \subset M_1$ the preimages of $c_i^{\pm} \subset K$.

Proposition 9. The group $\text{Pic } M_1$ is the abelian group generated by the classes $[b_i^\pm]$ with the defining relations

$$[b_1^+] + [b_1^-] = [b_2^+] + [b_2^-] = [b_3^+] + [b_3^-] = [b_4^+] + [b_4^-]. \quad \square$$

Proof. Consider the composition $\pi_1: M_1 \rightarrow K_1 = K \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. Set $U := \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_4\}$, $U' := \pi_1^{-1}(U)$. Denote by Γ the group of divisors D on M_1 such that $\text{supp } D \cap U' = \emptyset$. By Theorem 3, $U' \simeq U \times \mathbf{A}^1$, so $\text{Pic } U' = 0$, and the map $H^0(U, O_U^*) \rightarrow H^0(U', O_{U'}^*)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, the morphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Pic } M_1$ is surjective and its kernel Γ_0 consists of the inverse images of principal divisors Δ on \mathbf{P}^1 such that $\text{supp } \Delta \cap U = \emptyset$. Γ is the free abelian group generated by b_i^\pm , and Γ_0 is generated by $\pi_1^*(x_i - x_j) = (b_i^+ + b_i^-) - (b_j^+ + b_j^-)$. ■

Proposition 10. Let $\delta, \xi_i^{\otimes 2}$ be the line bundles on M defined in Section 3. Then

$$\delta \simeq O_M(-b_1^-),$$

$$\xi_i^{\otimes 2} \simeq O_M(b_i^- - b_i^+).$$

□

Proof. Denote by $\tilde{\xi}_{x_i}^\pm$ (resp. $\tilde{\xi}_i^\pm, \tilde{\delta}$) the locally free sheaf on \mathcal{M}_1 (the moduli stack of $(\tilde{L}, \nabla, \varphi)$) whose fiber over $(\tilde{L}, \nabla, \varphi)$ is \tilde{L}_{x_i} (resp. $\tilde{L}_i^\pm = \text{Ker}(\tilde{R}_i - \lambda_i^\pm), \det R\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^1, \tilde{L}) = H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \tilde{L}) = (\tilde{L}_0)_{x_i}$). Then $\tilde{\xi}_i^\pm$ and $\tilde{\delta}$ are subsheaves of $\tilde{\xi}_{x_i}$.

Let $(\tilde{L}, \nabla, \varphi)$ be a point of \mathcal{M}_1 . Consider the map $(\tilde{R}_i - \lambda_i^\mp): (\tilde{L}_0)_{x_i} \rightarrow \tilde{L}_i^\pm$. As $(\tilde{L}, \nabla, \varphi)$ varies, it yields a morphism of $O_{\mathcal{M}_1}$ -modules $\tilde{\delta} \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}_i^\pm$. It follows from the results of the previous subsection that this morphism identifies $\tilde{\xi}_i^\pm$ with $\tilde{\delta}(b_i^\mp)$. Since $\tilde{\xi}_{x_i} = \tilde{\xi}_i^+ \oplus \tilde{\xi}_i^-$ and $\Lambda^2 \tilde{\xi}_{x_i} \simeq O_{\mathcal{M}_1}$, we have $\tilde{\xi}_i^- \simeq (\tilde{\xi}_i^+)^*$. Hence $(\tilde{\delta})^{\otimes 2} \simeq O_{\mathcal{M}_1}(-b_i^+ - b_i^-)$ and $(\tilde{\xi}_i^\pm)^{\otimes 2} \simeq O_{\mathcal{M}_1}(b_i^\mp - b_i^\pm)$. But $\tilde{\xi}_i^+$ (resp. $\tilde{\delta}$) corresponds to ξ_i (resp. $\delta \otimes \xi_1$) via the identification $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}$. The statement follows immediately. ■

5 Cohomology of invertible sheaves on M

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.

5.1 The least smooth compactification $\overline{M} \supset M$

Set $\overline{K} := \mathbf{P}(O_{\mathbf{P}^1} \oplus \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_4))$. K is the open subscheme $\overline{K} \setminus s_\infty$, where s_∞ is ‘the infinite section.’ Blowing up $c_i^\pm \subset \overline{K}$, we obtain a variety \overline{M} , which is a smooth compactification

of $\widetilde{M}_1 \supset M_1 = M$. $\overline{M} \setminus M$ consists of the five irreducible components $s'_\infty, b'_1, \dots, b'_4$ (the proper preimages of $s_\infty, b_1, \dots, b_4 \in \overline{K}$). Clearly on \overline{K} we have $(s_\infty, \bar{b}_i) = 1$, $(\bar{b}_i, \bar{b}_j) = 0$, and $(s_\infty, s_\infty) = -2$. This implies

$$(s'_\infty, s'_\infty) = (b'_i, b'_i) = -2, \quad (s'_\infty, b'_i) = 1. \tag{2}$$

Corollary 5. \overline{M} is the least smooth compactification of M (i.e., any smooth compactification of M dominates \overline{M}). □

Proof. Let \overline{M}' be another smooth compactification of M . Then there is a smooth compactification \overline{M}'' that dominates \overline{M} and \overline{M}' . The morphisms $f: \overline{M}'' \rightarrow \overline{M}$ and $f': \overline{M}'' \rightarrow \overline{M}'$ are compositions of σ -processes, and we may assume that the number of these σ -processes is minimal. Let us prove that f' is an isomorphism.

Assume the converse. Then there is an exceptional curve $C' \subset \overline{M}''$ of the first kind such that $\dim f'(C') = 0$. Clearly $C' \cap M = \emptyset$.

$\overline{M}'' \setminus M$ has the following irreducible components: b''_i, s''_∞ (the proper preimages of b'_i, s'_∞), and curves C such that $\dim f(C) = 0$. $(b''_i)^2 \leq (b'_i)^2 < -1$ and $(s''_\infty)^2 \leq (s'_\infty)^2 < -1$, so $\dim f(C) = 0$. But this contradicts the hypothesis that the number of σ -processes is minimal. ■

Remark. Let us interpret \overline{K} and \overline{M} as moduli spaces. Denote by \overline{K}_1 the coarse moduli space of $(\widetilde{L}_0 \subset \widetilde{L}, A, \varphi)$ such that \widetilde{L}_0 is an invertible sheaf of degree 0 on \mathbf{P}^1 , \widetilde{L} is a rank 2 locally free sheaf of degree -1 on \mathbf{P}^1 , $A: \widetilde{L}_0 \rightarrow \widetilde{L} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}(x_1 + \dots + x_4)$, $\text{Im } A \cap \widetilde{L}_0 = 0$, and $\varphi: \Lambda^2 \widetilde{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-x_1)$. The isomorphism $K_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} K$ from Proposition 8 can be extended to $\overline{K}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{K}$.

Denote by \overline{M}_1 the coarse moduli space of $((\widetilde{L}_0 \subset \widetilde{L}, A, \varphi); \widetilde{l}_1^+, \widetilde{l}_1^-, \dots, \widetilde{l}_4^+, \widetilde{l}_4^-)$ such that $(\widetilde{L}_0 \subset \widetilde{L}, A, \varphi)$ corresponds to a point of \overline{K}_1 , $\widetilde{l}_i^\pm \subset \widetilde{L}_{x_i}$ is a 1-dimensional subspace, and $\widetilde{l}_i^\pm \supset (\text{res } A - \lambda_i^\mp)(\widetilde{L}_0)_{x_i}$. Then there is an isomorphism $\overline{M}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{M}$ such that the two compositions $\overline{M}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{K}$ and $\overline{M}_1 \rightarrow \overline{K}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{K}$ coincide.

5.2 The geometry of $\overline{M} \setminus M$

Set $D := 2s'_\infty + b'_1 + \dots + b'_4$. Then

$$(D, D) = (D, s'_\infty) = (D, b'_i) = 0. \tag{3}$$

Since $\Omega_{\overline{K}}^2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}(-4\bar{b}_i - 2s_\infty)$, we have $\Omega_{\overline{M}}^2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}(-D)$.

Notation. For a positive divisor C , we denote the corresponding subscheme by the same letter C .

Consider $D \subset \overline{M}$ as a reducible nonreduced subscheme. Then b'_i, s'_∞ , and $2s'_\infty$ are closed subschemes of D .

By the Riemann-Roch theorem, $\chi(O_D) = -D(D + K)/2$, where $K = -D$ is the canonical class of \overline{M} . So $\chi(O_D) = 0$. This implies the following statement.

Proposition 11. Let \mathcal{E} be a locally free sheaf on D . Then $\chi(\mathcal{E}) = 2 \deg(\mathcal{E}|_{s'_\infty}) + \sum_{i=1}^4 \deg(\mathcal{E}|_{b'_i})$. □

Lemma 8. Let \mathcal{E} be a nontrivial invertible sheaf on D such that $\deg \mathcal{E}|_{s'_\infty} = 0$, and either $\deg \mathcal{E}|_{b'_i} = 0$ for all i , or one of the numbers $\deg \mathcal{E}|_{b'_i}$ is -1 , another one is 1 , and the remaining two equal zero. Then $H^k(D, \mathcal{E}) = 0$ for all k . □

Proof. By Proposition 11, $\chi(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. So it is enough to prove that $H^0(D, \mathcal{E}) = 0$.

Assume the converse. Let $f \in H^0(D, \mathcal{E})$, $f \neq 0$. $\chi(\mathcal{E}) = \chi(O_D)$, $\mathcal{E} \not\cong O_D$, so f is zero on one of the irreducible components of D .

We may assume that $\deg \mathcal{E}|_{b'_i} \leq 0$ for $i \neq 1$. The closed subscheme $D_1 := s'_\infty + \sum_{i \neq 1} b_i \subset D$ is reduced and connected. Besides, $\mathcal{E}|_{D_1}$ has nonpositive degree on any irreducible component of D_1 . So either $f|_{D_1} = 0$, or $f|_{D_1}$ has no zero. In the second case, $f|_C \neq 0$, where $C \subset D$ is any irreducible component. Therefore $f \in \text{Ker}(H^0(D, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^0(D_1, \mathcal{E}))$. In other words, $f \in H^0(D, \mathcal{E} \otimes I_{D_1})$, where $I_{D_1} := \{\tilde{f} \in O_D : \tilde{f}|_{D_1} = 0\}$ is the sheaf of ideals of $D_1 \subset D$.

We have $I_{D_1} = O_{\overline{M}}(-D_1)/O_{\overline{M}}(-D)$, $\text{supp } I_{D_1} = s'_\infty + b'_1$. So $\deg I_{D_1}|_{b'_1} = \deg O(-D_1)|_{b'_1} = -1$. Therefore $\deg(\mathcal{E} \otimes I_{D_1})|_{b'_1} = \deg \mathcal{E}|_{b'_1} - 1 \leq 0$. In the same way, $\deg(\mathcal{E} \otimes I_{D_1})|_{s'_\infty} = \deg \mathcal{E}|_{s'_\infty} - 1 = -1$. Since $\mathcal{E} \otimes I_{D_1}$ is an invertible sheaf on the connected reduced scheme $s'_\infty + b'_1$, this implies $f \in H^0(D, \mathcal{E} \otimes I_{D_1}) = 0$. ■

Set $\text{Pic}^0 D := \{\mathcal{E} \in \text{Pic } D \mid \deg(\mathcal{E}|_{s'_\infty}) = 0, \deg(\mathcal{E}|_{b'_i}) = 0 \text{ for all } i\}$.

Proposition 12. $\text{Pic}^0 D \simeq \mathbf{A}^1$. □

Proof. Set $D_{\text{red}} := s'_\infty + \sum_{i=1}^4 b'_i \subset D$. Then $\text{Pic}^0 D = \text{Ker}(\text{Pic } D \rightarrow \text{Pic } D_{\text{red}})$.

Set $O' := \text{Ker}(O_D^* \rightarrow O_{D_{\text{red}}}^*)$. Then the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow O' \rightarrow O_D^* \rightarrow O_{D_{\text{red}}}^* \rightarrow 1$ defines an isomorphism $H^1(D, O') \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 D$. But O' is a locally free $O_{s'_\infty}$ -module of degree $-(s'_\infty, D_{\text{red}}) = -2$. Hence $\text{Pic}^0 D$ is a 1-dimensional \mathbf{C} -space. ■

Lemma 9. If $2\lambda_i \notin \mathbf{Z}$ for any i , then M contains no projective curve. □

Proof. Fix a point $x \in \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_4\}$. Consider the fundamental group $G := \pi_1(x, \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_4\})$. G is generated by the loops γ_i around x_i with the relation $\gamma_1 \times \dots \times \gamma_4 = e$. Denote by W the moduli space of representations $\rho: G \rightarrow SL(2)$ such that $\rho(\gamma_i)$ has eigenvalues $\exp(\pm 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda_i)$. Clearly W is an affine scheme.

The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence gives an analytic isomorphism $M_{\text{an}} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{\text{an}}$. But W_{an} contains no compact curve, so M contains no projective curve. ■

Remark. Consider the case of n points on any curve for any n . Then one can prove in the same way that the only projective subvarieties in M are finite sets.

Lemma 10. The sheaf $\mathcal{N}_D := \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}(D)|_D$ is not trivial. □

Proof. Assume the converse. Let σ be a global section of \mathcal{N}_D with no zeros. \overline{M} is a smooth rational projective variety, $H^1(\overline{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}) = 0$, and therefore $\sigma \in H^0(D, \mathcal{N}_D) = H^0(\overline{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}(D)/\mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}})$ can be lifted to $s \in H^0(\overline{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}(D))$. Then (s) is an effective divisor equivalent to D , and $\text{supp}(s) \subset M$. This contradicts Lemma 9. ■

Remark. One can give a direct (but more complicated) proof of this lemma.

Corollary 6. $H^i(D, (\mathcal{N}_D)^{\otimes k}) = 0$ for $k \neq 0$. □

Proof. By (3), $\mathcal{N}_D \in \text{Pic}^0 D$. Lemma 10 and Proposition 12 imply $(\mathcal{N}_D)^{\otimes k} \not\cong \mathcal{O}_D$ for $k \neq 0$. Lemma 8 completes the proof. ■

5.3 Calculation of cohomology

Let \mathcal{E} be an invertible sheaf on M . We set $\text{deg } \mathcal{E} := (\overline{\mathcal{E}}, D)$, where $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ is an extension of \mathcal{E} to an invertible sheaf on \overline{M} . (3) implies that $\text{deg } \mathcal{E}$ is well defined. Besides, it follows from Proposition 10 that $\text{deg}: \text{Pic } M \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ coincides with deg from Theorem 2.

If $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ is an invertible sheaf on \overline{M} , $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\mathcal{E}}|_M$, then $H^j(M, \mathcal{E}) = \varinjlim H^j(\overline{M}, \mathcal{E}(kD))$. But $H^*(\overline{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}(kD)/\mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}((k-1)D)) = 0$ for $k \neq 0$ (see Corollary 6). Hence $H^j(M, \mathcal{O}_M) = H^j(\overline{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}})$, and the statement (iii) of Theorem 2 follows from the rationality of \overline{M} .

If $\text{deg } \mathcal{E} = 0$, one can choose an extension $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ such that $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, s'_\infty) = 0$ and either $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, b'_i) = 0$ for all i , or one of the numbers $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, b'_i)$ is 1, another one is -1 , and the remaining two are zero. Then Lemmas 8 and 10 and Proposition 12 imply that for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, maybe except for one value, $H^*(\overline{M}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}(kD)/\overline{\mathcal{E}}((k-1)D)) = 0$. Hence, $\dim H^j(M, \mathcal{E}) < \infty$ and

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}) = \chi(\overline{\mathcal{E}}) = 1 + \frac{(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}(D))}{2} = 1 + \frac{(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\mathcal{E}})}{2}.$$

One can check that $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\mathcal{E}})/2 = [\langle \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \rangle / 2]$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the bilinear form from Theorem 2. So statement (iv) of Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 11.

Lemma 11. If $\text{deg } \mathcal{E} \leq 0$, $\mathcal{E} \not\cong \mathcal{O}_M$, then $H^0(M, \mathcal{E}) = 0$. □

Proof. Suppose $H^0(M, \mathcal{E}) \neq 0$, $\mathcal{E} \not\cong \mathcal{O}_M$. Then $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_M(C)$, $C > 0$. So $\text{deg } \mathcal{E} = (\overline{C}, D)$, where \overline{C} is the closure of C in \overline{M} . Hence by Lemma 9, $\text{deg } \mathcal{E} > 0$. ■

Now we prove statement (i) of Theorem 2. Suppose $\deg \mathcal{E} > 0$, $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ is an extension of \mathcal{E} to \bar{M} . Then $\chi(\bar{\mathcal{E}}(kD)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $H^2(\bar{M}, \bar{\mathcal{E}}(kD)) = 0$ for $k \gg 0$, we have $\dim H^0(\bar{M}, \bar{\mathcal{E}}(kD)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, that is, $\dim H^0(M, \mathcal{E}) = \infty$. Since $H^0(M, \mathcal{E}) \neq 0$, $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_M(C)$ for some $C > 0$. But $H^1(M, \mathcal{O}_M) = 0$, and C is affine (see Lemma 9), so $H^1(M, \mathcal{E}) = 0$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 2, we should check that if $\deg \mathcal{E} < 0$, then $\dim H^1(M, \mathcal{E}) = \infty$. Since $H^0(M, \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \neq 0$, $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_M(-C)$ for some $C > 0$. Since C is affine and $H^0(M, \mathcal{O}_M)$ is finite-dimensional, it is enough to use the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M(-C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M/\mathcal{O}_M(-C) \rightarrow 0$.

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to V. Drinfeld for stating problems and for numerous stimulating discussions.

The authors were partially supported by INTAS grant 94-4720.

References

- [1] D. Arinkin and S. Lysenko, *Invertible sheaves on moduli spaces of $SL(2)$ -bundles with connections on \mathbf{P}^1* , Dokl. Akad. Nauk. Ukraine **6** (1997), 7–11 (in Russian).
- [2] ———, *Isomorphisms between moduli spaces of $SL(2)$ -bundles with connections on $\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_4\}$* , Math. Res. Lett. **4** (1997), 181–190.
- [3] Y. Laszlo and C. Sorger, *The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic G -bundles over curves and their sections*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **30** (1997), 499–525.
- [4] G. Laumon, *Correspondance de Langlands géométrique pour les corps de fonctions*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), 309–359.
- [5] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*, preprint, Université Paris 11 (Orsay), 1992.
- [6] N. Mitsure, *Moduli of semistable logarithmic connections*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1993), 597–609.
- [7] K. Okamoto, *Isomonodromic deformation and Painlevé equations, and the Garnier system*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **33** (1986), 575–618.
- [8] ———, *Studies on the Painlevé equations, I: Sixth Painlevé equation PVI*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **146** (1987), 337–381.
- [9] ———, *Sur les feuilletages associés aux équation du second ordre à points critiques fixes de P. Painlevé. Espaces de conditions initiales*, Japan J. Math. **5** (1979), 1–79.
- [10] C. Simpson, “Nonabelian Hodge theory” in *Proc. Internat. Cong. Math., Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990)*, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1991, 747–756.

Physico-Technical Institute of Lower Temperatures, Mathematical Division, Lenin Avenue 47, Kharkov-164, 310164, Ukraine.

Current address of D. Arinkin: Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA; arinkin@math.harvard.edu