

1. COMMENTS TO: D. GAITSGORY, THE LOCAL AND GLOBAL VERSIONS OF THE
WHITTAKER CATEGORY, VERSION OCT. 9, 2020

1.0.1. For 1.4.5. If $S \in \text{Sch}_{ft}, Y \in \text{PreStk}_{lft}$ then the functor of exterior product $\text{Shv}(S) \otimes \text{Shv}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(S \times Y)$ is fully faithful for all the 4 sheaf theories, and its right adjoint is continuous ([23], 0.0.31). For $T \in \text{Sch}_{ft}$ let $h_T : \text{Shv}(S) \otimes \text{Shv}(T) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(S \times T)$ be the exterior product functor, then its right adjoint h_T^R identifies with the dual h^\vee .

I see this as follows. The composition $\text{Shv}(S) \otimes \text{Shv}(T) \xrightarrow{\text{dual} \otimes \text{dual}} \text{Shv}(S)^\vee \otimes \text{Shv}(T)^\vee = \text{Fun}_{k, \text{cont}}(\text{Shv}(S) \otimes \text{Shv}(T), \text{Vect}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fun}_{k, \text{bi-ex}}(\text{Shv}(S)^c \times \text{Shv}(T)^c, \text{Vect})$ sends L to the functor $(K_S, K_T) \mapsto \mathcal{H}om_{\text{Shv}(S) \otimes \text{Shv}(T)}(\mathbb{D}(K_S) \otimes \mathbb{D}(K_T), L) \in \text{Vect}$. Here $\mathcal{H}om$ denotes the relative inner hom for the Vect-action on $\text{Shv}(S) \otimes \text{Shv}(T)$. Indeed, it suffices to check this for L of the form $L_S \boxtimes L_T$ with $L_S \in \text{Shv}(S), L_T \in \text{Shv}(T)$, where this follows from ([6], ch. I.1, 10.5.8). Here $\mathbb{D} : (\text{Shv}(S)^c)^{op} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Shv}(S)^c$ denotes the Verdier duality and similarly for T . Now the claim follows from the fact that for $K \in \text{Shv}(S)^c, K' \in \text{Shv}(T)^c, \mathbb{D}(K \boxtimes K') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}(K) \boxtimes \mathbb{D}(K')$.

Dualizing the monoidal structure on $\text{Shv}(H)$, one gets a coalgebra structure on $\text{Shv}(H)$, where the coproduct $\text{Shv}(H) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(H) \otimes \text{Shv}(H)$ is the composition $\text{Shv}(H) \xrightarrow{m^!} \text{Shv}(H \times H) \xrightarrow{h^R} \text{Shv}(H) \otimes \text{Shv}(H)$, here h^R is right adjoint to the exterior product functor $h : \text{Shv}(H) \otimes \text{Shv}(H) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(H)$. In fact, $(\text{Shv}(H)_*) - \text{mod} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{Shv}(H), m^!) - \text{comod}$, see ([1], B.1.2). So, $\text{Shv}(Y)$ becomes a $(\text{Shv}(H), m^!)$ -comodule category. The corresponding cosimplicial category $[n] \mapsto \text{Shv}(H)^{\otimes n} \otimes \text{Shv}(Y)$ is obtained from the usual cosimplicial category $[n] \mapsto \text{Fun}(\text{Shv}(H)^{\otimes n}, \text{Shv}(Y))$, whose limit is

$$\text{Fun}_{\text{Shv}(H)}(\text{Vect}, \text{Shv}(Y)),$$

via the equivalences $\text{Fun}(\text{Shv}(H)^{\otimes n}, \text{Shv}(Y)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Shv}(H)^{\otimes n} \otimes \text{Shv}(Y)$ given by the canonical self-duality on $\text{Shv}(H)$ (coming from the Verdier duality). For any $T' \rightarrow Y/H$, with $T' \in \text{Sch}_{ft}^{aff}$, let $T = Y \times_{Y/H} T'$. Then we get a compatible action of H on T .

Then the collection of functors $h_T^R : \text{Shv}(H^n \times T) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(H)^{\otimes n} \otimes \text{Shv}(T)$ yields for any $(T' \rightarrow Y/H) \in (\text{Sch}_{ft}^{aff})_{/(Y/H)}$ a morphism of cosimplicial categories

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Shv}(T) & \rightrightarrows & \text{Shv}(H \times T) & \rightrightarrows & \dots \\ \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow h_T^R & & \\ \text{Shv}(T) & \rightrightarrows & \text{Shv}(H) \otimes \text{Shv}(T) & \rightrightarrows & \dots \end{array}$$

(because it is a morphism after dualization). Then passing to the limit over $T' \in (\text{Sch}_{ft}^{aff})_{(Y/H)}^{op}$, one get the desired morphism of cosimplicial categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Shv}(Y) & \rightrightarrows & \text{Shv}(H \times Y) & \begin{array}{c} \rightarrow \\ \rightrightarrows \\ \rightarrow \end{array} & \dots \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow h_Y^R & & \\ \text{Shv}(Y) & \rightrightarrows & \text{Shv}(H) \otimes \text{Shv}(Y) & \begin{array}{c} \rightarrow \\ \rightrightarrows \\ \rightarrow \end{array} & \dots \end{array}$$

Indeed, we have seen in ([23], 0.0.28) that h_Y^R is the limit of h_T^R . Every functor $\text{Shv}(H^n \times Y) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(H)^{\otimes n} \otimes \text{Shv}(Y)$ restricted to the full subcategory $\text{Shv}(H)^{\otimes n} \otimes \text{Shv}(Y)$ becomes the identity functor.

Any object of $\text{Tot Shv}(H^\bullet \times Y)$ is given by the collection of objects $\omega_H^{\boxtimes n} \boxtimes F \in \text{Shv}(H^\bullet \times Y)$ for some $F \in \text{Shv}(Y)$ with the corresponding isomorphisms for any map $[n] \rightarrow [m]$ in Δ . For each n we have actually

$$\omega_H^{\boxtimes n} \boxtimes F \in \text{Shv}(H)^{\otimes n} \otimes \text{Shv}(Y) \subset \text{Shv}(H^n \times Y)$$

For two such objects $(\omega_H^{\boxtimes n} \boxtimes F), (\omega_H^{\boxtimes n} \boxtimes F') \in \text{Tot Shv}(H^\bullet \times Y)$ the mapping space between them in $\text{Tot Shv}(H^\bullet \times Y)$ is

$$\text{Tot}_{[n] \in \Delta} \text{Map}_{\text{Shv}(H^\bullet \times Y)}(\omega_H^{\boxtimes n} \boxtimes F, \omega_H^{\boxtimes n} \boxtimes F') \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Tot}_{[n] \in \Delta} \text{Map}_{\text{Shv}(H)^{\otimes n} \otimes \text{Shv}(Y)}(\omega_H^{\boxtimes n} \boxtimes F, \omega_H^{\boxtimes n} \boxtimes F')$$

because $[n]$ -th terms lie in the corresponding full subcategories. The latter identifies with the mapping space in $\text{Tot}_{[n] \in \Delta} \text{Shv}(H)^{\otimes n} \otimes \text{Shv}(Y)$. So, $\text{Shv}(Y/H) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(Y)^H$ is fully faithful.

There is no obvious reason for the essentially surjectivity. We don't have a map of cosimplicial categories in the opposite direction, so to get the essential surjectivity one shows that the cosimplicial category $\text{Fun}(\text{Shv}(H)^{\otimes \bullet}, \text{Shv}(Y))$ satisfies the comonadic Beck-Chevalley conditions.

1.1. For Appendix B.

1.1.1. For B.1.3. Av_*^H is defined by applying $\text{Fun}_{\text{Shv}(H)}(\cdot, C)$ to the functor $p^* : \text{Vect} \rightarrow \text{Shv}(H)$ in $\text{Shv}(H) - \text{mod}$. Here $p : H \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$. For $F \in \text{Shv}(H)$ we get $e_Y * F \xrightarrow{\sim} e_Y \otimes C(F)$, where $C(F) = p_* F \in \text{Vect}$.

Taking $C = \text{Shv}(H)$ with the $\text{Shv}(H)$ -action by left translations, we get

$$\text{Fun}_{\text{Shv}(H)}(\text{Vect}, \text{Shv}(H)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fun}_{\text{Shv}(H)}(\text{Shv}(H), \text{Vect}),$$

where now the first argument $\text{Shv}(H)$ is equipped with the $\text{Shv}(H)$ -action by right translations (by Verdier duality). This gives $\text{Fun}_{\text{Shv}(H)}(\text{Shv}(H), \text{Vect}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Vect}$.

1.1.2. For B.2.1. Let Y be a scheme (of finite type). We assume that for $p : Y \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, $p^* e = e_Y$ exists. The category $\text{Shv}(Y)^0$ is compactly generated by e_Y . The fact that $\text{Shv}(Y)^0 \rightarrow C(Y) - \text{mod}$ is an equivalence follows from ([22], Lemma 9.2.18). The product map on $C(H)$ is $\text{R}\Gamma(Y \times Y, e) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma(Y, e)$ is given by $\Delta^*(e_Y \boxtimes e_Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} e_Y$, which gives a map $e_Y \boxtimes e_Y \rightarrow \Delta_* e_Y$, and passing to $\text{R}\Gamma$, the product map. Since Y is a commutative coalgebra in Sch , $C(Y) = p_*(e_Y)$ is a commutative algebra in Vect .

We used the Kunneth formula: for smooth schemes of finite type Y_i ,

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(Y_1 \times Y_2, e) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(Y_1, e) \otimes \mathrm{R}\Gamma(Y_2, e)$$

So, the functor $(\mathcal{S}ch_{smooth,ft})^{op} \rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}$, $Y \mapsto \mathrm{R}\Gamma(Y, e)$ is symmetric monoidal.

1.1.3. For B.2.2. The coalgebra structure on $C(H) = \mathrm{R}\Gamma(H, e_Y)$ is as follows. Note that e_H is a coalgebra in $\mathcal{S}hv(H)$ for the comonoidal structure naturally. The coproduct is given by $e_H \rightarrow m_* m^* e_H$ for $m : H \times H \rightarrow H$. The functor $p_* : \mathcal{S}hv(H) \rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}$ for $p : H \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ is monoidal, so $p_* e_H = C(H)$ is a coalgebra in Vect . The compatibility of algebra and coalgebra structure comes from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H \times H & \xrightarrow{\Delta \times \Delta} & H \times H \times H \times H \\ \downarrow m & & \downarrow m_{13} \times m_{24} \\ H & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & H \times H \end{array}$$

1.1.4. For B.2.2. Let us check that the lax monoidal functor $R : \mathcal{S}hv(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}hv(H)^0$, the right adjoint to the inclusion, is actually monoidal.

For $F_i \in \mathcal{S}hv(H)$ we have a natural map $R(F_1) * R(F_2) \rightarrow R(F_1 * F_2)$. To check that this is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{S}hv(H)}(e_H, R(F_1) * R(F_2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{S}hv(H)}(e_H, R(F_1 * F_2))$ is an isomorphism. Here $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{C}}(c_1, c_2)$ denotes the inner hom in $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{DGCat}_{cont}$ with respect to the Vect -action. Indeed, e_H generates $\mathcal{S}hv(H)^0$. This is the map $C(R(F_1)) \otimes C(R(F_2)) \rightarrow C(F_1 * F_2)$. However, $C(R(F_i)) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(F_i)$ naturally.

1.1.5. For B.2.3. The full subcategory $\mathcal{S}hv(H)^0 \subset \mathcal{S}hv(H)$ is preserved by both left and right $\mathcal{S}hv(H)$ -actions, because for $F \in \mathcal{S}hv(H)$, $F * e_H \xrightarrow{\sim} e_H * F \xrightarrow{\sim} e_H \otimes C(F)$.

The functor $R : \mathcal{S}hv(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}hv(H)^0$ commutes with left (and right) $\mathcal{S}hv(H)$ -action by translations. Indeed, for $F, F_1 \in \mathcal{S}hv(H)$ we have a natural map $R(F_1) \rightarrow F_1$, which gives a morphism $R(F_1 * F) \xrightarrow{\sim} R(F_1) * R(F) \rightarrow F_1 * R(F)$. To check that it is an isomorphism, it suffices to apply R , because R is monoidal.

The adjoint pair $i : \mathcal{S}hv(H)^0 \rightleftarrows \mathcal{S}hv(H) : R$ takes place in $\mathcal{S}hv(H) - mod$.

1.1.6. For B.2.3. The fact that $\mathcal{S}hv(H)^0$ is dualizable as a left (or right) $\mathcal{S}hv(H)$ -module follows from ([22], Remark 3.1.4).

In B.2.4 misprint: the right adjoint to the restriction $\mathcal{S}hv(H)^0 - mod \rightarrow \mathcal{S}hv(H) - mod$ along $R : \mathcal{S}hv(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}hv(H)^0$ is given by $M \mapsto (\mathcal{S}hv(H)^0)^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{S}hv(H)} M = \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{S}hv(H)}(\mathcal{S}hv(H)^0, M)$ by ([22], 3.2.1). Here the dual is calculated in the category $\mathcal{S}hv(H) - mod$. The self-duality of $\mathcal{S}hv(H)^0$ is ([22], Remark 3.1.4).

1.1.7. For B.3.1. The existence of Ξ follows from (HTT, 5.3.5.10). The situation here is similar to ([6], ch. II.1, Lm. 1.1.7). Namely, consider the smallest stable and Vect^{fd} -submodule subcategory $\mathcal{C} \subset C^\cdot(Y) - mod$ containing $C^\cdot(Y)$. Then $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} C^\cdot(Y) - mod$, and $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{DGCat}^{non-cocmpl}$. The inclusion $\mathcal{C} \subset C^\cdot(Y) - mod^{fd}$ gives the induced functor $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}(C^\cdot(Y) - mod^{fd}) = C^\cdot(Y) - mod^{ren}$.

The fact that Ξ is fully faithful is (HTT, 5.3.5.11(1)).

1.1.8. For B.3.2. Recall that $C(H) - \text{mod} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Shv}(H)^0$, and this is an equivalence of monoidal categories. In the adjoint pair $\Xi : C(H) - \text{mod} \rightleftarrows C(H) - \text{mod}^{\text{ren}} : \Psi$ both Ψ and Ξ are monoidal functors. For Ξ this follows from $e_H * e_H \xrightarrow{\sim} e_H \otimes C(H)$ in $\text{Shv}(H)^0$.

The fact that $(C(H) - \text{mod}) - \text{mod} \rightarrow (C(H) - \text{mod}^{\text{ren}}) - \text{mod}$ is fully faithful comes from ([22], 9.2.32), namely we use the adjoint pair $\Xi : C(H) - \text{mod} \rightleftarrows C(H) - \text{mod}^{\text{ren}} : \Psi$, where both functors are monoidal, and Ξ is fully-faithful.

1.1.9. For B.3.3. The category $C(H) - \text{mod}^{\text{ren}}$ is rigid, because each $F \in C(H) - \text{mod}^{\text{ren}}$ admits a left and right dual: this is the dual in Vect with the induced $C(H)$ -action?

Note that $e \in C(H) - \text{mod}$ is the unit of the symmetric monoidal category $C(H) - \text{mod}^{\text{ren}}$. It is compact in $C(H) - \text{mod}^{\text{ren}}$, because any object lying in $C(H) - \text{mod}^{\text{ren}}$ is compact by construction.

1.1.10. For B.4.1. The equivalence $\text{Shv}(B(H)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fun}_{\text{Shv}(H)}(\text{Vect}, \text{Vect})$ used there without a reference is explained in [23].

The fact that the functor $H - \text{mod} \rightarrow \text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}, C \mapsto C^H = \text{Fun}_{\text{Shv}(H)}(\text{Vect}, C)$ upgrades to a functor $H - \text{mod} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\text{Shv}(H)}(\text{Vect}, \text{Vect}) - \text{mod}$ is a residual 2-categorical phenomenon. Namely, $H - \text{mod}$ is a 2-category naturally ([6], ch. I.1, 8.3). The mapping category $\mathbf{Map}(?, \bullet)$ is naturally a module over the endomorphisms category $\mathbf{Map}(?, ?)$.

Recall that the composition $\text{Shv}(H) \xrightarrow{R} \text{Shv}(H)^0 \xrightarrow{\text{R}\Gamma} \text{Vect}$ is p_* for $p : H \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, see Sect. 1.1.4.

For any $C^r \in \text{Shv}(H)^0 - \text{mod}^r, C \in \text{Shv}(H)^0 - \text{mod}$ viewing them as $\text{Shv}(H)$ -modules via $R : \text{Shv}(H) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(H)^0$, we get by ([22], 9.2.32)

$$C^r \otimes_{\text{Shv}(H)^0} C \xrightarrow{\sim} C^r \otimes_{\text{Shv}(H)} C$$

The claim from B.4.1 follows now from the equivalence

$$\text{Vect} \otimes_{\text{Shv}(H)^0} \text{Shv}(H)^0 \otimes_{\text{Shv}(H)} C \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Vect} \otimes_{\text{Shv}(H)} C$$

together with Th. B.1.2.

1.1.11. For B.4.4. The symmetric monoidal structure on $\text{Shv}(B(H))$ given by $\otimes^!$ coincides with the monoidal structure on $\text{Fun}_{(\text{Shv}(H), \star)}(\text{Vect}, \text{Vect})$ given by the composition.

In the formula (B.8) we may view Vect as a right module over $(\text{Shv}(B(H)), \otimes^!)$, where $K \in \text{Shv}(B(H))$ acts on $V \in \text{Vect}$ as $(p^!K) \otimes V$. Here $p : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow B(H)$. We also view Vect there as a left module over $(\text{Shv}(H), \star)$, so this is a bimodule, an object of $(\text{Shv}(H), \star) - \text{mod} - \text{Shv}(B(H))$. Then we apply (HA, 4.6.2.1(2)) showing that the functor (B.8) is a left adjoint, and its right adjoint is given by tensoring by $\text{Vect} \in \text{Shv}(B(H)) - \text{mod} - (\text{Shv}(H), \star)$.

The corresponding duality datum is given by the functor

$$\text{Shv}(B(H)) \rightarrow \text{Vect} \otimes_{(\text{Shv}(H), \star)} \text{Vect}$$

in $\text{Shv}(B(H)) - \text{mod} - \text{Shv}(B(H))$. The latter functor is an equivalence, so that the unit of the adjunction is an isomorphism, hence (B.8) is fully faithful.

1.1.12. For B.4.7. By $C(H)$ he means homology, that is, $C(H)^\vee$, the dual of $C(H)$ in Vect . Since $C(H) \in \text{Vect}^c$, this dual exists. The functor $p^! : \text{Shv}(B(H)) \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ for $p : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow B(H)$ is comonadic, and the corresponding comonad is $C(H)$. So, $\text{Shv}(B(H)) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(H) - \text{comod} \xrightarrow{\sim} C(H)^\vee - \text{mod}$.

My understanding is that the coalgebra $C(H)$ is non-commutative, so the algebra $C(H)^\vee$ is also non-commutative. However, $C(H)^\vee$ is a cocommutative coalgebra. Note that $e \in C(H) - \text{comod}$ corresponds to $e_{B(H)} \in \text{Shv}(B(H))$.

Let us try a simpler thing. We consider $(\text{Shv}(B(H)), \otimes^!)$ as a monoidal category, and want to calculate $\text{Vect} \otimes_{(\text{Shv}(B(H)), \otimes^!)} \text{Vect}$. It is the colimit of $\text{Bar}^\bullet(\text{Vect}, \text{Shv}(B(H)), \text{Vect})$, that is of

$$\text{Shv}(B(H))^{\otimes 2} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \rightrightarrows \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{Shv}(B(H)) \rightrightarrows \text{Vect}$$

Does it satisfy the monadic Beck-Chevalley condition ([7], Def. C.1.5)? Convent that for $n \geq 0$ the functor corresponding to the last face map $\partial_n : [n] \rightarrow [n+1]$ is $\text{Shv}(B(H))^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow \text{Shv}(B(H))^{\otimes n}$ sending $F_1 \otimes \dots \otimes F_{n+1}$ to $F_1 \otimes \dots \otimes F_n \otimes p^! F_{n+1}$.

The action functor $p^! : \text{Shv}(B(H)) \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ admits continuous right adjoint $p_*[-2m]$ with $m = \dim H$. We have

$$\text{Shv}(B(H)) \otimes \text{Shv}(B(H)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (C(H)^\vee - \text{mod}) \otimes (C(H)^\vee - \text{mod}) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(H)^\vee \otimes C(H)^\vee - \text{mod}$$

The monoidal operation $\otimes^!$ on $\text{Shv}(B(H))$ becomes the restriction functor $C(H)^\vee \otimes C(H)^\vee - \text{mod} \rightarrow C(H)^\vee - \text{mod}$ via $C(H)^\vee \rightarrow C(H)^\vee \otimes C(H)^\vee$. Does it have a continuous right adjoint? Not clear for me.

Here is a proof of Sam:

1) Let Y be a a scheme of finite type with H -action. Write $p : Y \rightarrow Y/H$ for the quotient in the sense of prestacks. Let $\text{Shv}(Y)^{H-\text{mod}} \subset \text{Shv}(Y)$ be the full subcategory generated by the image of $p^* : \text{Shv}(Y/H) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(Y)$. We show that $p_* : \text{Shv}(Y)^{H-\text{mod}} \rightarrow \text{Shv}(Y/H)$ is monadic. Indeed, the latter functor is continuous, it has the left adjoint $p^* : \text{Shv}(Y/H) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(Y)^{H-\text{mod}}$, and this left adjoint generates $\text{Shv}(Y)^{H-\text{mod}}$. So, $p_* : \text{Shv}(Y)^{H-\text{mod}} \rightarrow \text{Shv}(Y/H)$ is conservative, hence monadic. The corresponding monad on $\text{Shv}(Y/H)$ is $F \mapsto F \otimes p_*(e)$.

2) Special case $Y = \text{Spec } k$. In this case $p : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow B(H)$, let $A = p_*(e) \in \text{Alg}(\text{Shv}(B(H)), \otimes)$. We get $\text{Vect} \xrightarrow{\sim} A - \text{mod}(\text{Shv}(B(H)), \otimes)$.

3) Let $D \in (\text{Shv}(B(H)), \otimes) - \text{mod}$. Then by ([6], ch. I.1, 8.5.7),

$$\text{Vect} \otimes_{(\text{Shv}(B(H)), \otimes)} D \xrightarrow{\sim} A - \text{mod}(D)$$

Applying this for $D = \text{Vect}$ with an action of $(\text{Shv}(B(H)), \otimes)$ given by p^* for $p : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow B(H)$, we get $\text{Vect} \otimes_{(\text{Shv}(B(H)), \otimes)} \text{Vect} \xrightarrow{\sim} A - \text{mod}(\text{Vect})$. The corresponding monad of Vect becomes $C(H)$ as desired.

Consider the functor $\tau : (\text{Shv}(B(H)), \otimes) \rightarrow (\text{Shv}(B(H)), \otimes^!)$ sending K to $K[-2n]$ with $n = \dim H$. This is a monoidal equivalence. Indeed, the diagonal map $\Delta : B(H) \rightarrow B(H) \times B(H)$ is smooth of relative dimension $n = \dim H$, hence $\Delta^! = \Delta^* [2n]$. Under this equivalence for $p : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow B(H)$ the monoidal functor $p^* : (\text{Shv}(B(H)), \otimes) \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ identifies with $p^! : (\text{Shv}(B(H)), \otimes^!) \rightarrow \text{Vect}$. This finishes the proof of Th. B.4.3.

1.2. For Appendix C. For C.1.1. In the definition of placidity, the transition maps $Z_\alpha \rightarrow Z_\beta$ are assumed smooth surjective and affine. Note that a placid scheme is quasi-compact and quasi-separated.

Let us show that a placid scheme Z is quasi-separated. Write $Z \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim Z_\alpha$ as in the definition of placidity. We may assume α_0 is an initial object of the corresponding filtered category I . Let $U_j, j \in J$ is a finite open covering of Z_{α_0} by affine schemes. Write $Z^j = Z \times_{Z_{\alpha_0}} U_j$, this is an affine scheme. Then for each pair $(j, j') \in J \times J$ it suffices to show that the preimage \mathcal{V} of $Z^j \times Z^{j'}$ under $Z \rightarrow Z \times Z$ is quasi-compact. Pick a finite open covering by affine schemes V_k (with $k \in S$, where S is a finite set) of $U_j \cap U_{j'}$. Let \mathcal{V}_k be the base change of \mathcal{V} under $V_k \rightarrow U_j \cap U_{j'} := (U_j \times U_{j'}) \times_{Z_{\alpha_0} \times Z_{\alpha_0}} Z_{\alpha_0}$. Then $\mathcal{V} = \cup_{k \in S} \mathcal{V}_k$, so it suffices to show that each \mathcal{V}_k is quasi-compact. However, \mathcal{V}_k is a placid scheme over V_k , hence \mathcal{V}_k is quasi-compact.

1.2.1. For C.1.4. If Y is an ind-scheme of ind-finite type then Y is a placid ind-scheme.

1.2.2. For C.1.6 line 1: for the map $f_{\alpha, \beta} : \mathcal{Y}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_\beta$ we must assume that for any $S \in \text{Sch}_{ft}$ and a map $S \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_\beta$ the base change $S \times_{\mathcal{Y}_\beta} \mathcal{Y}_\alpha \rightarrow S$ is smooth, affine and surjective morphism, and moreover $S \times_{\mathcal{Y}_\beta} \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$ is of finite type. So, it is better to say that $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is smooth, surjective, affine and quasi-compact.

The fact that $Z_i \rightarrow Z_j$ is a placid closed embedding is obtained as follows. For $i \rightarrow j$, $Y_i \times_{Y_j} Z_j \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_i$. Indeed, $Y_i \times_{Y_j} \lim_\alpha Y_{j, \alpha} \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_\alpha Y_{i, \alpha}$. Here $Y_{i, \alpha} = Y_i \times_{\mathcal{Y}_{\alpha_0}} \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$.

Recall that the category Sch^{aff} of classical affine schemes admits all limits, and $\text{Sch}^{aff} \subset \text{PreStk}$ is closed under limits by HTT. Assume I is filtered, $I^{op} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{ft}$, $i \mapsto Z_i$ is a diagram such that the transition maps $Z_i \rightarrow Z_j$ are smooth, surjective and affine. Let $Z = \lim_{i \in I^{op}} Z_i$ is PreStk . We claim that Z is a scheme. Indeed, we may assume I has an initial object i_0 . For any open affine subscheme $S \subset Z_{i_0}$, $S \times_{Z_{i_0}} Z_i$ is affine, hence $\lim_{i \in I^{op}} S \times_{Z_{i_0}} Z_i \xrightarrow{\sim} S \times_{Z_{i_0}} Z$ is an affine scheme. Thus, Z admits an open covering by affine schemes, hence $Z \in \text{Sch}$.

1.2.3. Let Z be a placid scheme written as $Z = \lim_{i \in I^{op}} Z_i$ is PreStk , where the transition maps $Z_i \rightarrow Z_j$ are smooth, surjective and affine with $Z_i \in \text{Sch}_{ft}$. Let $S \in \text{Sch}_{ft}$ and $f : Z \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of schemes. Then there is i such that f factors as $Z \rightarrow Z_i \rightarrow S$. Indeed, first it suffices to assume S affine. Suppose for each affine open subscheme $U \subset S$, $U \times_S Z \rightarrow S$ factors through $U \times_S Z_i$ for some i . Since S is quasi-compact, for a finite subset $I' \subset I$ we pick an element j for which there is a map $i \rightarrow j$ in I for any $i \in I'$. Then $Z \rightarrow S$ factors through Z_j .

Now assume S affine. We may assume I has an initial object i_0 . For any open affine subscheme $V \subset Z_{i_0}$, $V \times_{Z_{i_0}} Z$ is affine, hence the map $V \times_{Z_{i_0}} Z \rightarrow S$ factors through $V \times_{Z_{i_0}} Z_i$ for some i . Since Z_{i_0} is quasi-compact, we may pick j large enough in I such that now $V \times_{Z_{i_0}} Z \rightarrow S$ factors through $V \times_{Z_{i_0}} Z_j$ for any V in some open covering of Z_{i_0} , and we are done.

Indeed, if there are two morphisms $f, f' : Z_j \rightarrow S$ such that the compositions $Z \rightarrow Z_j \rightarrow S$ coincide then $f = f'$. Indeed, this can be checked locally in Zariski topology of Z_{i_0} .

1.2.4. For C.2.4. There $f : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}'$ is any morphism in PreStk , and $\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z}'$ are placid schemes. By the previous subsection, $f_* : Shv(\mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow Shv(\mathcal{Z}')$ is well-defined.

Is it true that for a placid scheme \mathcal{Z} written as $Z = \lim Z_i$, any object $F \in Shv(\mathcal{Z})$ is of the form f^*K for some $i \in I$ and $f : Z \rightarrow Z_i$, $K \in Shv(\mathcal{Z}_i)$? Probably not, because $\text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}} \rightarrow 1 - \text{Cat}$ does not preserve filtered colimits ([22], 9.2.29).

1.2.5. For a placid closed embedding $f : \mathcal{Z}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ of placid schemes, $f_* : Shv(\mathcal{Z}') \rightarrow Shv(\mathcal{Z})$, which was initially defined in C.2.4 admits a different interpretation. Namely, assume $\mathcal{Z} = \lim_{\alpha \in I^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$, and for a closed embedding $\mathcal{Z}'_{\alpha_0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha_0}$ we have $\mathcal{Z}' = \mathcal{Z}'_{\alpha_0} \times_{\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha_0}} \mathcal{Z}$.

Then we may assume α_0 is an initial object of I . Then for any $\alpha \in I$ set $\mathcal{Z}'_\alpha = \mathcal{Z}'_{\alpha_0} \times_{\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha_0}} \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$, so that $\mathcal{Z}' = \lim \mathcal{Z}'_\alpha$, and $Shv(\mathcal{Z}') \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_{\alpha \in I^{\text{op}}} Shv(\mathcal{Z}'_\alpha)$. For each α consider the closed embedding $i_\alpha : \mathcal{Z}'_\alpha \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$. The functors $(i_\alpha)_*$ are compatible with the corresponding colimit systems, and yield a functor $\text{colim}_{\alpha \in I^{\text{op}}} Shv(\mathcal{Z}'_\alpha) \rightarrow \text{colim}_{\alpha \in I^{\text{op}}} Shv(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha)$, which is f_* .

In addition, consider for $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ in I the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}'_\beta & \xrightarrow{i_\beta} & \mathcal{Z}_\beta \\ \downarrow \pi'_{\alpha,\beta} & & \downarrow \pi_{\alpha,\beta} \\ \mathcal{Z}'_\alpha & \xrightarrow{i_\alpha} & \mathcal{Z}_\alpha \end{array}$$

We get $i_\alpha^! (\pi_{\alpha,\beta})_* \xrightarrow{\sim} (\pi'_{\alpha,\beta})_* i_\beta^!$. So, the functors $i_\alpha^!$ form a morphism of the corresponding inverse systems, yielding a functor $f^! : Shv(\mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow Shv(\mathcal{Z}')$. It is the right adjoint to f_* by ([6], ch. I.1, 2.6.4).

Proposition 1.2.6. *Let Z be a quasi-compact quasi-separated scheme, Y a placid ind-scheme written as $Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_{i \in I} Y_i$ with I filtered, Y_i placid scheme, and $Y_i \rightarrow Y_j$ a placid closed immersion for $i \rightarrow j$ in I . Let $f : Z \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in PreStk then there is $i \in I$ such that it factors through $Z \rightarrow Y_i \rightarrow Y$.*

Proof. Step 1. We reduce this to the case of affine Z . Assume this known for Z affine. Since Z is quasi-compact, we pick an affine cover $Z = \cup_\beta S_\beta$. Each map $S_\beta \rightarrow Y$ factors through some Y_i , we may assume this is the same i for all β . Since Z is quasi-separated, our claim follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 1.2.7. *Let S be an affine scheme, $\gamma, \gamma' : S \rightarrow Y_i$ two maps such that the compositions $S \rightarrow Y_i \rightarrow Y$ are the same. Then there is $i \rightarrow j$ in I such that the compositions $S \rightarrow Y_i \rightarrow Y_j$ are the same.*

Proof. $\text{Sets} \subset \text{Spc}$ is closed under filtered colimits, so $Y(S)$ is a set. Filtered colimits in Sets are obtained as a quotient by the equivalence relation of $\sqcup_i Y_i(S)$ by $(i, \gamma) \sim (i', \gamma')$ iff there is a diagram $i \rightarrow j \leftarrow i'$ such that the images of γ and γ' in $Y_j(S)$ are equal. \square

Step 2. Assume Z affine. Then any object of $Y(Z)$ comes from some element of $Y_i(Z)$ by ([22], 13.1.14). \square

For example, if Z is a placid scheme then Z is quasi-compact and quasi-separated, so satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 1.2.6. Another example is when Y is a ind-scheme of ind-finite type.

1.2.8. For C.2.7. Let $f : \mathcal{Y}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_2$ be a map between placid ind-schemes. Write $\mathcal{Y}_2 = \text{colim}_{i \in I} \mathcal{Y}_2^i$ and $\mathcal{Y}_1 = \text{colim}_{j \in J} \mathcal{Y}_1^j$ with I, J filtered.

The composition $\mathcal{Y}_1^j \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_2$ factors through $f_{ji} : \mathcal{Y}_1^j \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_2^i$ for some i by the above, so we get a morphism $(f_{ji})_* : Shv(\mathcal{Y}_1^j) \rightarrow Shv(\mathcal{Y}_2^i)$. Composing with $(\gamma_i)_* : Shv(\mathcal{Y}_2^i) \rightarrow Shv(\mathcal{Y}_2)$ for $\gamma_i : \mathcal{Y}_2^i \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_2$, we get a morphism $Shv(\mathcal{Y}_1^j) \rightarrow Shv(\mathcal{Y}_2)$. This is a compatible system, hence induces a functor $Shv(\mathcal{Y}_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_{j \in J} Shv(\mathcal{Y}_1^j) \rightarrow Shv(\mathcal{Y}_2)$.

1.2.9. For C.2.8. Fully-faithfulness follows from ([22], 2.2.17). Now write $Y_j = \lim_{i \in I_j^{op}} Y_j^i$,

where Y_j^i is a scheme of finite type, and the transition maps are smooth affine surjective. Then $Shv(Y_1) \otimes Shv(Y_2)$ is compactly generated by objects of the form $F_1 \otimes F_2$ with $F_1 \in Shv(Y_1^i)^c, i \in I_1, F_2 \in Shv(Y_2^j)^c, j \in I_2$ for some i, j . Here we used ([2], Cor. 1.9.4). Since then $F_1 \boxtimes F_2 \in Shv(Y_1^i \times Y_2^j)$ is compact, we see that the functor $Shv(Y_1) \otimes Shv(Y_2) \rightarrow Shv(Y_1 \times Y_2)$ has a continuous right adjoint.

1.2.10. For C.2.9. The functors $(f_{\alpha, \beta})_*$ exist, because $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is schematic quasi-compact, and $\mathcal{Y}_\alpha, \mathcal{Y}_\beta \in \text{PreStk}_{lft}$. The functor $f_{\alpha, \beta}^*$ exists, because $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is smooth.

In the situation of Section C.1.6, let $\mathcal{Y}_{\alpha, i} = Y_i \times_{\mathcal{Y}_{\alpha_0}} \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$. The maps $\mathcal{Z}_i = \lim_{\beta} \mathcal{Y}_{\beta, i} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha, i} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$ form a compatible system over $i \in I$, hence yield a morphism $f_\alpha : \mathcal{Y} = \text{colim}_i \mathcal{Z}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$. Since f_α is a map between placid ind-schemes, $(f_\alpha)_*$ exists.

We have a morphism

$$\text{colim}_{i \in I} \lim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^{op}} \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha, i} \rightarrow \lim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^{op}} \text{colim}_{i \in I} \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha, i},$$

that is, the map $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \lim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^{op}} \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$. Is it an isomorphism in PreStk ? Yes, see ([23], 0.0.36).

By definition, $Shv(\mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_{i \in I} \text{colim}_\alpha Shv(\mathcal{Y}_{\alpha, i})$, where we use for the transition map $\tau_{\alpha, \beta} : \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha, i} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\beta, i}$ the functor $\tau_{\alpha, \beta}^*$. So,

$$Shv(\mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_\alpha \text{colim}_{i \in I} Shv(\mathcal{Y}_{\alpha, i}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_\alpha Shv(\mathcal{Y}_\alpha)$$

Here for $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ and $f_{\alpha, \beta} : \mathcal{Y}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_\beta$ we are using $f_{\alpha, \beta}^* : Shv(\mathcal{Y}_\beta) \rightarrow Shv(\mathcal{Y}_\alpha)$. The functor $f_{\alpha, \beta}^*$ has a continuous right adjoint $(f_{\alpha, \beta})_*$, because $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is schematic quasi-compact. So, we may pass to right adjoints and get $Shv(\mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_\alpha Shv(\mathcal{Y}_\alpha)$.

1.2.11. Let Z be a placid scheme written as $Z = \lim_{i \in I^{op}} Z_i$. For $i \rightarrow j$ in I let $f_{ij} : Z_j \rightarrow Z_i$ be the corresponding morphism, it is smooth of relative dimension d_{ij} , affine, surjective. Since $Shv(Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_i Shv(Z_i)$ via the maps f_{ij}^* , $Shv(Z)$ is compactly generated, hence dualizable. By ([6], ch. I.1, 6.3.4), by applying the dualization functor to the functor

$$I \rightarrow \text{DGCat}_{cont}, i \mapsto Shv(Z_i), (i \rightarrow j) \mapsto f_{ij}^*,$$

we get a functor $I^{op} \rightarrow \text{DGCat}_{cont}, i \mapsto Shv(Z_i), (i \rightarrow j) \mapsto (f_{ij})_*[-2d_{ij}]$. Moreover,

$$Shv(Z)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{i \in I^{op}} Shv(Z_i)$$

with respect to the transition maps $(f_{ij})_*[-2d_{ij}]$. Consider for $i \in I$ the isomorphism $Shv(Z_i) \xrightarrow{\otimes e[2d_i]} Shv(Z_i)$ with $d_i = \dim Z_i$. So, $d_{ij} = d_j - d_i$. The diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Shv(Z_j) & \xrightarrow{\otimes e[2d_j]} & Shv(Z_j) \\ \downarrow (f_{ij})_* & & \downarrow (f_{ij})_*[-2d_{ij}] \\ Shv(Z_i) & \xrightarrow{\otimes e[2d_i]} & Shv(Z_i) \end{array}$$

Passing to the limit over I^{op} , we obtain an equivalence $Shv(Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} Shv(Z)^\vee$. So, for each placid scheme Z , $Shv(Z)$ is canonically self-dual.

However, if Z is a scheme of finite type, this self-duality is not the same as the usual one for a finite type scheme. Everything can be here over a base scheme $S \in Sch_{ft}$, which is not necessary of pure dimension. Then d_i is such that $Z_i \rightarrow S$ is smooth of relative dimension d_i . (Probably, smoothness of Z_i over S is not needed here).

If $f_i : Z \rightarrow Z_i$ is the projection then the dual of the functor $f_i^* : Shv(Z_i) \rightarrow Shv(Z)$ identifies with $(f_i)_* : Shv(Z) \rightarrow Shv(Z_i)$.

1.2.12. Let now Z, Z' be placid schemes and $i : Z' \rightarrow Z$ a placid closed immersion. What is the dual of the adjoint pair $i_* : Shv(Z') \rightarrow Shv(Z) : i^!$?

We explain the dual of i_* . If $Z = \lim_{i \in I^{op}} Z_i$ and, assume for simplicity I has an initial object i_0 such that $Z' = Z'_{i_0} \times_{Z_{i_0}} Z$. So, $Z' = \lim_{i \in I^{op}} Z'_i$ with $Z'_i = Z_i \times_{Z_{i_0}} Z'_{i_0}$. For $i \rightarrow j$ in I let $f_{ij} : Z_j \rightarrow Z_i$ be the corresponding transition map. For the closed embeddings $i_i : Z'_i \rightarrow Z_i$ writing $Shv(Z) = \lim_{i \in I^{op}} Shv(Z_i)$ for $(f_{ij})_* : Shv(Z_j) \rightarrow Shv(Z_i)$ and similarly for $Shv(Z')$, the dual functor is given by the collection of functors $i_i^![2d_i - 2d'_i] : Shv(Z_i) \rightarrow Shv(Z'_i)$, here $d_i = \dim Z_i, d'_i = \dim Z'_i$ as locally constant functions, they form a morphism of the corresponding inverse systems. The number $d_i - d'_i$ does depend on i , and can be denoted $\text{codim}_Z(Z') = d_i - d'_i$. So, the dual of $i_* : Shv(Z') \rightarrow Shv(Z)$ is $i^![2 \text{codim}_Z(Z')]$.

1.3. For C.3.1. Here K_n is the kernel of $G(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow G(\mathcal{O}/t^n)$. Note for $n < m$ then $K_m \subset K_n$ is a subgroup, hence a map $f_{n,m} : \mathfrak{L}(G)/K_m \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}(G)/K_n$. The functor $f_{n,m}^* : Shv(\mathfrak{L}(G)/K_n) \rightarrow Shv(\mathfrak{L}(G)/K_m)$ exists, see my previous section (and [10], C.2.9), and $Shv(\mathfrak{L}(G)) = \text{colim}_n Shv(\mathfrak{L}(G)/K_n)$, where the transition functors are $f_{n,m}^*$.

If e_{K_n} denotes the sheaf e extended by zero under $K_n/K_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{L}(G)/K_n$. Let $f_n : \mathfrak{L}(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}(G)/K_n$ be the natural map. Then $(f_n)_*\delta_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} e_{K_n}$. By ([22], 9.2.6), the natural map $\text{colim}_n (f_n)^*(f_n)_*\delta_1 \rightarrow \delta_1$ is an isomorphism.

For D.1.2. We show that the natural map $\text{colim}_n C^{K_n} \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism, where the colimit is calculated in DGCat_{cont} . For each n , K_n is a placid group scheme, so the adjoint pair $p_n^* : \text{Vect} \rightleftarrows Shv(K_n) : (p_n)_*$ for $p_n : K_n \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ gives an adjoint pair $\text{oblv} : C^{K_n} \rightleftarrows C : \text{Av}_*^{K_n}$ in DGCat_{cont} . Here for $n \geq 1$, oblv is fully faithful, because K_n is prounipotent. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $K_{n+1} \subset K_n$, so we have the oblivion functor $C^{K_n} \rightarrow C^{K_{n+1}} \rightarrow C$, this shows that $C^{K_n} \rightarrow C^{K_{n+1}}$ is fully faithful. Now by ([4], Lm. 1.3.6),

$$C^{K_m} \rightarrow \text{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{op}} C^{K_n} := D$$

is fully faithful for $m \geq 1$, where the colimit is calculated in DGCat_{cont} . Moreover, the inclusion $C^{K_n} \rightarrow C^{K_{n+1}}$ has a continuous right adjoint given by $\mathrm{Av}_*^{K_n}$ restricted to $C^{K_{n+1}}$. So, by passing to right adjoints $D \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_n C^{K_n}$. Since for each $n \geq 1$, $C^{K_n} \hookrightarrow C$ is fully faithful, we get the fully faithfulness of $D \rightarrow C$ by passing to the limit. There remains to show that $D \rightarrow C$ is essentially surjective. Given $c \in C$, we write $\delta_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_n e_{K_n}$, so $\delta_1 * c \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{colim}_n e_{K_n} * c$. Here $e_{K_n} * c \in C^{K_n}$ and $e_{K_n} * c \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Av}_*^{K_n}(c)$. The projective system $(\mathrm{Av}_*^{K_n}(c))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defines an object of D which can be seen as $\mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{ins}_n \mathrm{Av}_*^{K_n}(c) \xrightarrow{\sim} c$, where $\mathrm{ins}_n : C^{K_n} \hookrightarrow C$ is the natural inclusion. Thus, the canonical map $D \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism.

In fact, $G(F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{op}} G(F)/K_n$ as prestacks, here $F = k((t))$, this follows from ([15], 4.4.2).

1.3.1. For 1.5.3. If H is a smooth group scheme of finite type, L is a local system on H equipped with associative isomorphism $m^*L \xrightarrow{\sim} L \boxtimes L$ and a compatible trivialization $i^*L \xrightarrow{\sim} e$ for $i : \mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow H$ then $f : (\mathrm{Shv}(H), *) \rightarrow (\mathrm{Shv}(H), *)$, $F \mapsto F \otimes L^{-1}$ is a monoidal functor. Indeed,

$$f(F_1 * F_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} (F_1 * F_2) \otimes L^{-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} (F_1 \otimes L^{-1}) * (F_2 \otimes L^{-1}) = f(F_1) * f(F_2)$$

Now given $C \in (\mathrm{Shv}(H), *) - \mathrm{mod}$, we twist the action by L as follows. The object $C_L \in H - \mathrm{mod}$ is defined as $C \in \mathrm{DGCat}_{cont}$ with the new action given by $\mathrm{Shv}(H) \otimes C \xrightarrow{f \otimes \mathrm{id}} \mathrm{Shv}(H) \otimes C \xrightarrow{act} C$.

For the trivial action of H on Vect we get Vect_L . This is Vect equipped with the action of $\mathrm{Shv}(H)$, where $F \in \mathrm{Shv}(H)$ sends F to $C(H, F \otimes L^{-1})$. We have the adjoint pair $g^L : \mathrm{Vect}_L \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Shv}(H) : g$, where $g(F) = C(H, F \otimes L^{-1})$, and $(g^L)(V) = V \otimes L$. We view it as an adjoint pair in $(\mathrm{Shv}(H), *) - \mathrm{mod}$, where the $(\mathrm{Shv}(H), *)$ -action on Vect_L is given by g , and $\mathrm{Shv}(H)$ acts on itself by left translations. Recall that g is monoidal. For $C \in H - \mathrm{mod}$ applying the functor $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{Shv}(H)}(\bullet, C)$ we get for

$$C^{H,L} := \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{Shv}(H)}(\mathrm{Vect}_L, C)$$

the adjoint pair

$$\mathrm{oblv}_{H,L} : C^{H,L} \rightleftarrows C : \mathrm{Av}_*^{H,L}$$

The composition $\mathrm{oblv}_{H,L} \circ \mathrm{Av}_*^{H,L} : C \rightarrow C$ is $c \mapsto (e_H \otimes L) * c$. Note that $C^{H,L} \xrightarrow{\sim} (C_{L^{-1}})^H$.

By Section 1.3.12, we get $C^{H,L} \xrightarrow{\sim} L - \mathrm{comod}(C)$.

Example: for $C = \mathrm{Shv}(H)$ note that $L \in L - \mathrm{comod}(\mathrm{Shv}(H))$ naturally, as $L \in \mathrm{coAlg}(\mathrm{Shv}(H), *)$. So, this is a good definition of twisted invariants.

Remark: let $Y \in \mathrm{PreStk}_{\mathrm{ft}}$ with a H -action. Let $a : H \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the action map. Let H act on $H \times Y$ via its action on H by left translations. Then a is H -equivariant, so $a^! : L - \mathrm{comod}(\mathrm{Shv}(Y)) \rightarrow L - \mathrm{comod}(\mathrm{Shv}(H \times Y))$. We have naturally $L^{-1} \boxtimes \omega \in L^{-1} - \mathrm{comod}(\mathrm{Shv}(H \times Y))$. Let us show that for $K \in L - \mathrm{comod}(\mathrm{Shv}(Y))$, $(\mathrm{pr}_1^! L^{-1}) \otimes^! a^! K$ becomes an objects of $e_H - \mathrm{comod}(\mathrm{Shv}(H \times Y))$, so is of the form $\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{F}$ for some $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Shv}(Y)$.

By Section 1.3.3 below, $(L^{-1} \boxtimes \omega) \boxtimes a^! K \in \mathrm{Shv}(H \times Y \times H \times Y)^{H \times H, L^{-1} \boxtimes L}$.

Restricting the action of $H \times H$ to the diagonal, the map $d : Y \rightarrow Y \times Y$ becomes H -equivariant. By the functoriality of Section 1.3.2,

$$(L^{-1} \boxtimes \omega) \boxtimes a^! K \in Shv(H \times Y \times H \times Y)^H$$

Further taking $d^!$, we get $(\text{pr}_1^! L^{-1}) \otimes^! a^! K \in Shv(H \times Y)^H$, where H acts via the left transations on H . We are done.

1.3.2. Let $f : G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of placid group schemes, L a character local system on H . Since $f_* : Shv(G) \rightarrow Shv(H)$ is monoidal, f^* is left-lax monoidal, so yields a functor $f^* : coAlg(H) \rightarrow coAlg(G)$. Thus, f^*L is a coalgebra. This structure also comes from the fact that f^*L is a character local system on G . Since f_* is monoidal, it yields a functor $f_* : coAlg(Shv(G)) \rightarrow coAlg(Shv(H))$, and we have an adjoint pair $f^* : coAlg(Shv(H)) \rightleftarrows coAlg(Shv(G)) : f_*$ by ([25], Remark 1.2.9). So, the map $L \rightarrow f_* f^* L$ is a morphism of coalgebras, hence yields the forgetful functor for any $C \in Shv(H) - mod$,

$$L - comod(C) \rightarrow f_* f^* L - comod(C)$$

The target here can be seen as $f^*L - comod(C)$, where $f^*L \in coAlg(Shv(G))$ with the action of $Shv(G)$ on C obtained by restriction via f_* . We constructed the functor $\text{oblv} : C^{H,L} \rightarrow C^{G,f^*L}$.

1.3.3. Let G_i be a placid group scheme acting on $Y_i \in \text{PreStk}_{lft}$ for $i = 1, 2$, let L_i be a character local system on G_i . Then $G_1 \times G_2$ acts on $Y_1 \times Y_2$, and $L_1 \boxtimes L_2$ is a character local system on $G_1 \times G_2$. The exterior product functor $Shv(Y_1) \otimes Shv(Y_2) \rightarrow Shv(Y_1 \times Y_2)$ is $Shv(G_1) \otimes Shv(G_2)$ -linear, where it acts on $Shv(Y_1 \times Y_2)$ via the exterior product map $Shv(G_1) \otimes Shv(G_2) \rightarrow Shv(G_1 \times G_2)$. This used ([23], 0.0.52).

Now by ([22], 9.2.61), there is a natural functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fun}_{Shv(G_1)}(\text{Vect}_{L_1}, Shv(Y_1)) \otimes \text{Fun}_{Shv(G_2)}(\text{Vect}_{L_2}, Shv(Y_2)) \rightarrow \\ \text{Fun}_{Shv(G_1) \otimes Shv(G_2)}(\text{Vect}_{L_1} \otimes \text{Vect}_{L_2}, Shv(Y_1) \otimes Shv(Y_2)) \end{aligned}$$

By ([22], 9.2.61), the evaluation functor

$$\text{Fun}_{Shv(G_1) \otimes Shv(G_2)}(\text{Vect}_{L_1} \otimes \text{Vect}_{L_2}, Shv(Y_1) \otimes Shv(Y_2)) \xrightarrow{\gamma} Shv(Y_1) \otimes Shv(Y_2)$$

is comonadic. Let us calculate the corresponding comonad.

As in Section 1.3.1, we have the adjoint pairs $g_i^L : \text{Vect}_{L_i} \rightleftarrows Shv(G_i) : g_i$ in $Shv(G_i) - mod$. Tensoring, we get an adjoint pair $\text{Vect}_{L_1} \otimes \text{Vect}_{L_2} \rightleftarrows Shv(G_1) \otimes Shv(G_2)$ in $Shv(G_1) \otimes Shv(G_2) - mod$. Applying $\text{Fun}_{Shv(G_1) \otimes Shv(G_2)}(\bullet, Shv(Y_1) \otimes Shv(Y_2))$, this gives the adjoint pair

$$\gamma : \text{Fun}_{Shv(G_1) \otimes Shv(G_2)}(\text{Vect}_{L_1} \otimes \text{Vect}_{L_2}, Shv(Y_1) \otimes Shv(Y_2)) \rightleftarrows Shv(Y_1) \otimes Shv(Y_2) : \gamma^R$$

This shows that $\gamma(\gamma^R)$ is the functor of action by $L_1 \boxtimes L_2$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fun}_{Shv(G_1) \otimes Shv(G_2)}(\text{Vect}_{L_1} \otimes \text{Vect}_{L_2}, Shv(Y_1) \otimes Shv(Y_2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ (L_1 \boxtimes L_2) - comod(Shv(Y_1) \otimes Shv(Y_2)) \end{aligned}$$

Composing with the exterior product $Shv(Y_1) \otimes Shv(Y_2) \rightarrow Shv(Y_1 \times Y_2)$, we obtain the morphism

$$(L_1 \boxtimes L_2) - comod(Shv(Y_1) \otimes Shv(Y_2)) \rightarrow (L_1 \boxtimes L_2) - comod(Shv(Y_1 \times Y_2))$$

Combining, we get finally the functor

$$Shv(Y_1)^{G_1, L_1} \otimes Shv(Y_2)^{G_2, L_2} \rightarrow Shv(Y_1 \times Y_2)^{G_1 \times G_2, L_1 \boxtimes L_2}$$

Remark 1.3.4. *If we are not in the constructible context, it is better not to use the \otimes , but only $\otimes^!$. For this we should start with an object $\mathcal{L} \in Shv(H)$ invertible for the $\otimes^!$ -monoidal structure and satisfying $m^! \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}$ associatively and $i^! \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} e$ for $i : \text{Spec} k \xrightarrow{1} H$. Then the functor $(Shv(H), *) \rightarrow (Shv(H), *)$, $F \mapsto F \otimes^! \mathcal{L}$ is a monoidal equivalence. If moreover we are in the constructible context then for a multiplicative local system L in the usual sense, $\mathcal{L} := L \otimes \omega_H$ satisfies the above properties.*

1.3.5. Let $G \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_{i \in I} G_i$ in PreStk , where G_i is a prosmooth placid group scheme, I is small filtered, for $i \rightarrow j$ in I , $G_i \rightarrow G_j$ is a placid closed immersion. Assume $0 \in I$ is initial. Let H be a group scheme of finite type, L be a character local system on H , $\alpha : G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism. Let Y be an ind-scheme of ind-finite type with a G_0 -action, $K \in Shv(Y)^{G_0, L}$. We want to define $(\alpha^! L) \boxtimes K \in Shv(G \times^{G_0} Y)$. Here $\alpha^! L$ makes sense only after some additional choice, see below.

Write $Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_{j \in J} Y_j$, where J is small filtered, Y_j is a G_0 -invariant scheme of finite type, and for $j \rightarrow j' \in J$, $Y_j \rightarrow Y_{j'}$ is a closed immersion. It suffices to define our object in the case when $Y \in \text{Sch}_{ft}$ in a way compatible with $!$ -pullbacks under the closed immersions $Y_j \rightarrow Y_{j'}$. So, we simply assume $Y \in \text{Sch}_{ft}$.

The definition is not canonical and depends on an additional choice. Namely, pick a normal prounipotent subgroup $N \subset G_0$ of finite codimension such that $\alpha : G \rightarrow H$ factors through $\bar{\alpha} : G/N \rightarrow H$. Let us also assume G_0 -action on Y factors through G_0/N . Our construction depends on a choice of N .

By definition, $Shv(Y)^{G_0, L} \xrightarrow{\sim} Shv(Y)^{G_0/N, L}$. Let $(g_1, g_2) \in (G_0/N) \times (G_0/N)$ act on $(G/N) \times Y$ sending (g, y) to $(gg_1^{-1}, g_2 y)$. For this action

$$(\bar{\alpha}^! L) \boxtimes K \in Shv(G/N \times Y)^{G_0/N \times G_0/N, L^{-1} \boxtimes L}$$

So, for the diagonal action of G_0/N , $\bar{\alpha}^! L \boxtimes K \in Shv(G/N \times Y)^{G_0/N}$. Let $q : G/N \times Y \rightarrow G/N \times^{G_0/N} Y$ be the stack quotient map. Denote by $\alpha^! L \boxtimes K \in Shv(G/N \times^{G_0/N} Y)$ the object such that $q^!(\bar{\alpha}^! L \boxtimes K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{oblv}(\bar{\alpha}^! L \boxtimes K)$. Now $G/N \times^{G_0/N} Y \xrightarrow{\sim} G \times^{G_0} Y$, and $(\alpha^! L) \boxtimes K \in Shv(G \times^{G_0} Y)$ as desired.

Dependence on N is as follows. Let $N' \subset N$ be another normal prounipotent group subscheme of G_0 . Then let $\epsilon : G/N' \rightarrow G/N$ be the natural map and $\bar{\alpha}' = \bar{\alpha} \circ \epsilon$. By definition, we identify the two categories via the equivalence $\epsilon^! : Shv(G/N) \xrightarrow{\sim} Shv(G/N')$, and similarly for $(\epsilon \times \text{id})^! : Shv(G/N \times Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} Shv(G/N' \times Y)$, so the result is independent of N in this sense.

1.3.6. Assume for this subsection we are in the constructible context. Let G be a group scheme of finite type, $Y \in \text{PreStk}_{lft}$ with a G -action. Let L be a character local system on Y . Recall that $Shv(Y)^{G, L} \xrightarrow{\sim} L - comod(Shv(Y))$. Let $\mathfrak{a}^R : Shv(Y) \rightarrow Shv(Y)$ be

the comonad $K \mapsto L * K$. Then \mathfrak{a}^R admits a left adjoint $\mathfrak{a} : Shv(Y) \rightarrow Shv(Y)$, which is a monad, and

$$\mathfrak{a} - mod(Shv(Y)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{a}^R - comod(Shv(Y))$$

canonically, and this isomorphisms respect the oblivion functors to $Shv(Y)$. In particular $obl\nu : Shv(Y)^{G,L} \rightarrow Shv(Y)$ has a left adjoint $Av_!^{G,L} : Shv(Y) \rightarrow Shv(Y)^{G,L}$.

Let us generalize this claim as follows. Let $f : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of group schemes of finite type. Then $obl\nu : Shv(Y)^{G,L} \rightarrow Shv(Y)^{H,L}$ has a left adjoint.

Proof. Our f^*L is a character local system on H , write $\mathfrak{b}^R : Shv(Y) \rightarrow Shv(Y)$ for the comonad $K \mapsto f^*L * K$. As in Section 1.3.2, we have a morphism of comonads $\mathfrak{a}^R \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}^R$ on $Shv(Y)$. Let $\mathfrak{b} : Shv(Y) \rightarrow Shv(Y)$ be the left adjoint to \mathfrak{b}^R . Then we get by functoriality a morphism of monads $\mathfrak{b} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ on $Shv(Y)$. Now $obl\nu$ becomes the forgetful functor $\mathfrak{a} - mod(Shv(Y)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{b} - mod(Shv(Y))$. Its left adjoint is given by the induction $\mathfrak{b} - mod(Shv(Y)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} - mod(Shv(Y))$, $K \mapsto \mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathfrak{b}} K$. The relative tensor product here is taken in the sense of [17] for the action of $\text{Fun}_{e,cont}(Shv(Y), Shv(Y))$ on $Shv(Y)$. \square

Lemma 1.3.7. *Let H be a unipotent group scheme of finite type (or pro-unipotent), $C \in H - mod$ and L is a character local system on H , so for $m : H \times H \rightarrow H$, $m^*L \xrightarrow{\sim} L \boxtimes L$. In this case the functor $obl\nu_{H,L} : C^{H,L} \rightarrow C$ is fully faithful. Its essential image is the image of the functor $C \rightarrow C, c \mapsto (e_H \otimes L) * c$.*

Proof. In the notations of the previous section, for $g^L : \text{Vect}_L \rightleftarrows Shv(H) : g$ the unit of the adjunction $\text{id} \rightarrow gg^L$ is $C(H) \xrightarrow{\sim} e$. So, g^L is fully faithful. This gives $Av_*^{H,L} \circ obl\nu_{H,L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{id}$. \square

If U is a pro-unipotent group scheme then $C(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} e$. Indeed, e comes as the object $(e_{U_i}) \in \lim Shv(U_i)$ for $U = \lim U_i$, where e_{U_i} is the constant sheaf on U_i .

For a pro-unipotent group scheme $p : U \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ consider the adjoint pair $p^* : \text{Vect} \rightleftarrows Shv(U) : p_*$ in $Shv(U) - mod^r$. For $C \in Shv(U) - mod$ applying $\cdot \otimes_{Shv(U)} C$, one gets the adjoint pair $\text{pr}^L : C_U \rightleftarrows C : \text{pr}$, where pr^L is fully faithful, because $\text{id} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_*p^*$. So,

1.3.8. Let H, G be placid group ind-schemes and $f : H \rightarrow G$ a homomorphism of groups in PreStk . Then the functor $f_* : (Shv(H), *) \rightarrow (Shv(G), *)$ is monoidal. So, if $C, D \in G - mod$, we get the morphism of inverse systems

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Fun}(D, C) & \rightrightarrows & \text{Fun}(Shv(G) \otimes D, C) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} \end{array} & \text{Fun}(Shv(G)^{\otimes 2} \otimes D, C) \dots \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Fun}(D, C) & \rightrightarrows & \text{Fun}(Shv(H) \otimes D, C) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} \end{array} & \text{Fun}(Shv(H)^{\otimes 2} \otimes D, C) \dots \end{array}$$

given by composing with the maps $(f_*)^{\otimes n} : Shv(H)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow Shv(G)^{\otimes n}$. This yields a morphism between the totalizations

$$\text{Fun}_{Shv(G)}(D, C) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{Shv(H)}(D, C)$$

Assume now $G = \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} G_i$ in PreStk , where I is filtered, each G_i is a placid scheme, a group scheme, and for $i \rightarrow j$ in I the map $i_{ij} : G_i \rightarrow G_j$ is a placid closed embedding, and a homomorphism of group schemes. Then the natural map

$$\operatorname{Fun}_{\operatorname{Shv}(G)}(D, C) \rightarrow \lim_{i \in I^{op}} \operatorname{Fun}_{\operatorname{Shv}(G_i)}(D, C)$$

is an equivalence. Indeed, I is sifted, so $\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \operatorname{Shv}(G_i)^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes n}$, where we use $(i_{ij})_* : \operatorname{Shv}(G_i) \rightarrow \operatorname{Shv}(G_j)$ as the transition maps. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Fun}_{\operatorname{Shv}(G)}(D, C) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{[n] \in \mathbf{\Delta}} \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes n} \otimes D, C) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{[n] \in \mathbf{\Delta}} \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{colim}_i \operatorname{Shv}(G_i)^{\otimes n} \otimes D, C) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{i \in I^{op}} \lim_{[n] \in \mathbf{\Delta}} \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{Shv}(G_i)^{\otimes n} \otimes D, C) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{i \in I^{op}} \operatorname{Fun}_{\operatorname{Shv}(G_i)}(D, C) \end{aligned}$$

This implies the formula (2.1) in 2.1.2. More generally, if $C \in G - \operatorname{mod}$ then $C^G \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{i \in I^{op}} C^{G_i}$.

1.3.9. Let $G = \lim_{i \in I^{op}} G_i$, where I is filtered, G_i is a smooth group scheme of finite type, for $i \rightarrow j$ the map $\pi_{ji} : G_j \rightarrow G_i$ is a homomorphism of group schemes, which is smooth, affine, surjective. So, G is a placid scheme. For $i \rightarrow j$ in I the functor $(\pi_{ij})_* : \operatorname{Shv}(G_j) \rightarrow \operatorname{Shv}(G_i)$ is monoidal, and $\operatorname{Shv}(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{i \in I^{op}} \operatorname{Shv}(G_i)$ in $\operatorname{Alg}(\operatorname{DGCat}_{\operatorname{cont}})$.

1.3.10. Let G be a group scheme, which is a pro-smooth placid scheme, $C \in G - \operatorname{mod}$. Consider the cosimplicial category defining C^G :

$$\operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{Vect}, C) \rightrightarrows \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{Shv}(G), C) \xrightarrow{\rightrightarrows} \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes 2}, C) \dots$$

Let us show that it satisfies the comonadic Beck-Chevalley conditions.

The functor corresponding to the last face map $\partial_n : [n] \rightarrow [n+1]$ (its image avoids $n+1$) is the following functor F_n . We consider $\operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes n}$, $\operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{R}\Gamma$, and compose it with $\operatorname{Fun}(\cdot, C)$. For $p : G \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$ the functor p_* has a left adjoint p^* . Let T_n be the functor obtained from $\operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes n+1}$, $\operatorname{id} \otimes p^*$ by composing with $\operatorname{Fun}(\cdot, C)$. Then T_n is the right adjoint to F_n . Let now $\alpha : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ be a map in $\mathbf{\Delta}$. Consider the corresponding diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes n}, C) & \xleftarrow{T_n} & \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes n+1}, C) \\ \uparrow F_\alpha & & \uparrow F_{\alpha+1} \\ \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes m}, C) & \xleftarrow{T_m} & \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes m+1}, C) \end{array}$$

We show that it commutes. It suffices to prove this for α injective, because of the following. Let $\mathbf{\Delta}_s \subset \mathbf{\Delta}$ be the full subcategory with the same class of object, where we keep only injective maps. Then $\mathbf{\Delta}_s \rightarrow \mathbf{\Delta}$ is cofinal by [17]. If $\alpha : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ is injective, and $0, n$ are in the image then the desired commutativity follows from the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{p^* \otimes \operatorname{id}} & \operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes n+1} \\ \downarrow (m_\alpha)_* & & \downarrow (m_{\alpha+1})_* \\ \operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes m} & \xrightarrow{p^* \otimes \operatorname{id}} & \operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes m+1}, \end{array}$$

where $(m_\alpha)_*$ is the product along α in the monoidal category $\operatorname{Shv}(G)$.

If $\alpha : [n-1] \rightarrow [n]$ is the last face map then $\alpha + 1 : [n] \rightarrow [n+1]$ avoids n . The functor $F_{\alpha+1}$ is the composition with $Shv(G)^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow Shv(G)^{\otimes n}$, $K_1 \otimes \dots \otimes K_{n+1} \mapsto K_1 \otimes \dots \otimes K_{n-1} \otimes K_n * K_{n+1}$. In this case the desired commutativity follows from $K * e_G \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(G, K) \otimes e_G$.

If $\alpha : [n-1] \rightarrow [n]$ is injective and avoids 0 then F_α sends f to the functor

$$K_1 \otimes \dots \otimes K_n \mapsto K_1 * f(K_2 \otimes \dots \otimes K_n)$$

and the commutativity is tautological. So, it always hold.

By ([7], Lemma C.1.9), the functor $\text{oblv}_G : C^G \rightarrow C$ is comonadic, and the corresponding comonad on C is $C \rightarrow C, c \mapsto e_G * c$. We conclude that $C^G \xrightarrow{\sim} e_G - \text{comod}(C)$.

Does the same holds if $G \in Grp(\text{PreStk})$ is only a placid ind-scheme? I and Sam think no, namely if G is not a group scheme then the comonadic Beck-Chevalley conditions do not hold for the above cosimplicial diagram, because the left adjoint to $R\Gamma : Shv(G) \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ does not exist.

Lemma 1.3.11. *Let be given an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow U \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{q} H \rightarrow 1$ of group schemes, where G, H, U are placid schemes. Assume U is pro-unipotent. Let $C \in H - \text{mod}$, which we view by restriction as an object of $G - \text{mod}$. Then $C^G \xrightarrow{\sim} C^H$ canonically.*

Proof. We apply Section 1.3.10 and get $C^H \xrightarrow{\sim} e_H - \text{comod}(C)$ where $e_H \in Shv(H)$ is the constant sheaf, and similarly, $C^G \xrightarrow{\sim} e_G - \text{comod}(C)$. We have a morphism of comonads in one direction, and we check that the corresponding monads in $\text{Fun}(C, C)$ are the same. This follows from $q_* e_G \xrightarrow{\sim} e_H$. \square

1.3.12. Let $G \in Grp(\text{PreStk})$, let G be a placid ind-scheme, $C \in G - \text{mod}$. The functor $\text{oblv}_G : C^G \rightarrow C$ is comonadic. Indeed, It has a right adjoint oblv_G^R , because $ev^0 : C^G \rightarrow C$ in the corresponding cosimplicial category is continuous, hence admits a maybe discontinuous right adjoint. By (HA, 4.7.5.1), oblv_G is comonadic, so $C^G \xrightarrow{\sim} E - \text{comod}(C)$, where $E = \text{oblv}_G \circ \text{oblv}_G^R$. In general, oblv_G^R is not continuous.

1.3.13. Let $N \in Grp(\text{PreStk})$ be a placid ind-scheme. Assume $N = \text{colim}_{i \in I} N_i$, where each N_i is a placid scheme, and a group scheme. Assume I filtered. Assume for $i \rightarrow j$ the map $N_i \rightarrow N_j$ is a placid closed immersion and a homomorphism of group schemes. Let $C \in N - \text{mod}$. Recall that, by Sect. 1.3.8, $C^N \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{i \in I^{op}} C^{N_i}$.

Assume each N_i is pro-unipotent. Then $C^{N_i} \subset C$ is a full subcategory. Then $C^N \xrightarrow{\sim} \cap_i C^{N_i}$ as full subcategories in C by ([22], 2.7.7), because the forgetful functor $\text{DGCat}_{cont} \rightarrow 1 - \text{Cat}$ preserves limits.

1.3.14. Let Z be a placid scheme written as $\lim_{i \in I^{op}} Z_i$, where Z_i is a smooth scheme of finite type over a base scheme $S \in \text{Sch}_{ft}$, and for $i \rightarrow j$ the map $Z_j \rightarrow Z_i$ is smooth, affine and surjective. Write $p : Z \rightarrow \text{Spec } S$ for the structure map. Under the self-duality of $Shv(Z)$ from Section 1.2.11, the dual to $p_* : Shv(Z) \rightarrow Shv(S)$ identifies with $p^* : Shv(S) \rightarrow Shv(Z)$. So, p_* identifies with $(p^*)^\vee$.

Let U be a pro-unipotent group scheme, $C \in U - \text{mod}$. Then we have the adjoint pair $p_* : Shv(U) \rightleftarrows \text{Vect} : (p_*)^R$ in the constructible context. However, in general $(p^*)^R$ is not a strict morphism of $Shv(U)$ -modules. In general for $C \in Shv(U) - \text{mod}$, the

left adjoint to $\text{oblv}_U : C^U \rightarrow C$ does not exist in the constructible context, see ([24], after 1.12.3).

1.3.15. Let G be a placid group scheme, $G = \lim_{i \in I^{\text{op}}} G_i$, where G_i is smooth group scheme of finite type, for $i \rightarrow j$ in I the map $f_{ij} : G_j \rightarrow G_i$ is smooth, affine and surjective homomorphism, and I is filtered category. Assume I has an initial object i_0 . Let $f_{i_0} : G \rightarrow G_{i_0}$ be the projection, L_0 a character local system on G_{i_0} . We assume it is invertible in $(\text{Shv}(G_{i_0}), \otimes)$. Let $L = f_{i_0}^* L_0$ be a character local system on G .

Consider the action of G on itself by left translations.

Claim. The continuous functor $h : \text{Vect} \rightarrow \text{Shv}(G)^{G,L}$ sending e to L is an equivalence.

Proof. Write $\text{Shv}(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{i \in I^{\text{op}}} \text{Shv}(G_i)$, where we use $(f_{ij})_*$ as the transition functors. For any i , G acts on G_i , and f_{ij} are G -equivariant, so $(f_{ij})_*$ is a map in $G\text{-mod}$. Thus, $\text{Shv}(G)^{G,L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{i \in I^{\text{op}}} \text{Shv}(G_i)^{G,L}$. By Lemma 1.3.11, $\text{Shv}(G_i)^{G,L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Shv}(G_i)^{G_i, L_i}$, where $L_i = f_{i_0}^* L_0$. Thus, it suffices to establish the equivalence $\text{Shv}(G_i)^{G_i, L_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Vect}$. So, we are reduced to the case, where G is a smooth group scheme of finite type. We assume this.

By Section 1.3.10, $\text{Shv}(G)^{G,L} \xrightarrow{\sim} L\text{-comod}(\text{Shv}(G))$. Here we use the comonad $K \mapsto L * K$. Note that L is a coalgebra in $\text{Shv}(G)$, hence $L \in L\text{-comod}(\text{Shv}(G))$. This justifies the definition of $h : \text{Vect} \rightarrow L\text{-comod}(\text{Shv}(G))$.

Let $\bar{h} : \text{Vect} \rightarrow \text{Shv}(G)$ be the continuous functor sending e to L . Its right adjoint \bar{h}^R is given by $(\bar{h}^R)(F) = C \cdot (L^{-1} \otimes K)$. Since the composition $\text{Vect} \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} \text{Shv}(G) \xrightarrow{\delta_1^!} \text{Vect}$ is the identity up to a shift, \bar{h} is conservative.

Does the functor \bar{h} preserve totalizations? In the constructible context \bar{h} has a left adjoint given by $F \mapsto p_!(F \otimes L^{-1})[2d]$ for $p : G \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, so the answer is yes. But what about \mathcal{D} -modules? Here is another argument.

We have $\text{Shv}(G)^{G,L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fun}_{\text{Shv}(G)}(\text{Vect}, \text{Shv}(G)_{L^{-1}})$, where $\text{Shv}(G)_{L^{-1}}$ is the category $\text{Shv}(G)$ equipped with the action of $K \in \text{Shv}(G)$ as $F \mapsto (K \otimes L^{-1}) * F$. Consider the equivalence $f : \text{Shv}(G) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(G)_{L^{-1}}$, $F \mapsto F \otimes L^{-1}$. For $K, F \in \text{Shv}(G)$ we have $(K \otimes L^{-1}) * (F \otimes L^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (K * F) \otimes L^{-1}$. Thus, f is an isomorphism in $G\text{-mod}$, where $K \in \text{Shv}(G)$ acts on $F \in \text{Shv}(G)$ by $K * F$. It induces an equivalence

$$\text{Vect}_{\text{Shv}(G)}(\text{Vect}, \text{Shv}(G)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Vect}_{\text{Shv}(G)}(\text{Vect}, \text{Shv}(G)_{L^{-1}}) = \text{Shv}(G)^{G,L}$$

Since we know that $\text{Shv}(G)^G \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Vect}$, our claim holds for any sheaf theory. \square

1.3.16. Let $G = \text{colim}_{i \in I} G_i$ in PreStk , where I is a filtered small category, each G_i is a placid scheme, a group scheme, and for $i \rightarrow j$ in I the map $i_{ij} : G_i \rightarrow G_j$ is a homomorphism of group schemes and a placid closed embedding. Recall that $\text{Shv}(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_{i \in I} \text{Shv}(G_i)$. Let $M \in G\text{-mod}^r, C \in G\text{-mod}$. Then one has

$$\text{colim}_{i \in I} M \otimes_{\text{Shv}(G_i)} C \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_{\text{Shv}(G)} C$$

Indeed, I is sifted, so $\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \operatorname{Shv}(G_i)^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes n}$ as in Section 1.3.8 of this file. So,

$$\begin{aligned} M \otimes_{\operatorname{Shv}(G)} C &\xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{op}} M \otimes \operatorname{Shv}(G)^{\otimes n} C \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ &\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \operatorname{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{op}} M \otimes \operatorname{Shv}(G_i)^{\otimes n} C \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} M \otimes_{\operatorname{Shv}(G_i)} C \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $C_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} C_{G_i}$ in $\operatorname{DGCat}_{cont}$, the transition maps are $\operatorname{Av}_{G_i, G_j, *}: C_{G_i} \rightarrow C_{G_j}$ for $i \rightarrow j$ in I .

1.3.17. Let $f: H \rightarrow G$ be a map in $\mathfrak{Grp}(\operatorname{PreStk})$, where H, G are placid ind-schemes. Recall that $f_*: (\operatorname{Shv}(H), *) \rightarrow (\operatorname{Shv}(G), *)$ is monoidal. Let $D \in G - \operatorname{mod}^r, C \in G - \operatorname{mod}$ then we have a natural functor $D \otimes_{\operatorname{Shv}(H)} C \rightarrow D \otimes_{\operatorname{Shv}(G)} C$. Indeed, this holds for any morphism $A \rightarrow B$ in $\operatorname{Alg}(\operatorname{DGCat}_{cont})$ and $D \in B - \operatorname{mod}^r, C \in B - \operatorname{mod}$.

In particular, we have a natural functor $\operatorname{Av}_{H, G, *}: C_H \rightarrow C_G$. The composition $C \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Av}_{H, *}} C_H \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Av}_{H, G, *}} C_G$ is $\operatorname{Av}_{G, *}$.

1.3.18. Let $p: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$ be a pro-smooth placid scheme, and a group scheme. Let $C \in G - \operatorname{mod}$. Viewing $p^*: \operatorname{Vect} \rightleftarrows \operatorname{Shv}(G): p_*$ as an adjoint pair in $G - \operatorname{mod}^r$ and applying $\bullet \otimes_{\operatorname{Shv}(G)} C$, we get an adjoint pair $\operatorname{oblv}_G: C_G \rightleftarrows C: \operatorname{Av}_{G, *}$ in $\operatorname{DGCat}_{cont}$.

1.3.19. Let Z be a placid ind-scheme. Is $\operatorname{Shv}(Z)$ canonically self-dual?

Write $Z = \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} Z_i$ with Z_i a placid scheme, I small filtered, and for $i \rightarrow j$ the map $f_{ij}: Z_i \rightarrow Z_j$ is a placid closed immersion. We have $\operatorname{Shv}(Z) = \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \operatorname{Shv}(Z_i)$ with respect to the transition functors $(f_{ij})_*$.

Consider the functor $I \rightarrow \operatorname{DGCat}_{cont}, i \mapsto \operatorname{Shv}(Z_i), (i \rightarrow j) \mapsto (f_{ij})_*$. By ([6], ch. I.1, 6.3.4), the colimit of this functor $\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \operatorname{Shv}(Z_i) = \operatorname{Shv}(Z)$ is dualizable, and $\operatorname{Shv}(Z)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{i \in I^{op}} \operatorname{Shv}(Z_i)^\vee$, the limit of the dual functor.

Recall for each i the canonical self-duality on $\operatorname{Shv}(Z_i)$ introduced in Sect. 1.2.11 of this file. It allows to rewrite $\operatorname{Shv}(Z)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{i \in I^{op}} \operatorname{Shv}(Z_i)$, where the transition functors for $i \rightarrow j$ in I is $(f_{ij})^! [2 \operatorname{codim}_{Z_j}(Z_i)]$ in the notations of Section 1.2.12.

Pick an element $i_0 \in I$. Consider for $i \rightarrow j$ in I a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Shv}(Z_j) & \xrightarrow{\otimes e[-2 \operatorname{codim}_{Z_j}(Z_{i_0})]} & \operatorname{Shv}(Z_j) \\ \downarrow f_{ij}^! & & \downarrow f_{ij}^! [2 \operatorname{codim}_{Z_j}(Z_i)] \\ \operatorname{Shv}(Z_i) & \xrightarrow{\otimes e[-2 \operatorname{codim}_{Z_i}(Z_{i_0})]} & \operatorname{Shv}(Z_i) \end{array}$$

Indeed, we have $\operatorname{codim}_{Z_j}(Z_i) + \operatorname{codim}_{Z_i}(Z_{i_0}) = \operatorname{codim}_{Z_j}(Z_{i_0})$. Passing to the limit over I^{op} , this provides an equivalence $\operatorname{Shv}(Z)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Shv}(Z)$.

This duality maybe depend on a choice of an element $i_0 \in I$.

1.3.20. For 3.4.6. For $\mu \in \Lambda^+$ let $S^\mu \subset \operatorname{Gr}_{G, x}$ be the $\mathfrak{L}(N)_x$ -orbit through t^μ . What is $\operatorname{R}\Gamma(S^\mu, \omega_{S^\mu})$? Let $N_\mu \subset \mathfrak{L}(N)_x$ be the stabilizer of $t^\mu \in \operatorname{Gr}_{G, x}$. We may pick a sequence $N_\mu \subset N^k \subset \mathfrak{L}(N)_x$ such that $N^k \subset N^{k+1}$ is closed, and N^k/N_μ is isomorphic to an affine space. Recall that $\omega_{S^\mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{colim}_k \omega_{N^k/N_\mu}$ in $\operatorname{Shv}(S^\mu)$ (by [22], 9.2.6). So, $\operatorname{R}\Gamma(S^\mu, \omega_{S^\mu}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{colim}_k \operatorname{R}\Gamma(N^k/N_\mu, \omega_{N^k/N_\mu}) \xrightarrow{\sim} e$. Indeed, $\omega_{N^k/N_\mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} e[2 \dim(N^k/N_\mu)]$.

Question: what is $\operatorname{R}\Gamma(\operatorname{Gr}_G^\gamma, \omega_{\operatorname{Gr}_G})$ for $\gamma \in \pi_1(G)$?

1.3.21. For 5.2.7. The following is used. Let $x, y \in X$ be distinct. Then $N_{X-\{x,y\}}$ acts transitively on $\mathfrak{L}_y(N)/\mathfrak{L}_y^+(N)$. Indeed, $X - x$ is affine. Let be given an N -torsor \mathcal{F}_N on $X - x$ with a trivialization over $X - \{x, y\}$. This gives an element of $\mathfrak{L}_y(N)/\mathfrak{L}_y^+(N)$. Pick a global trivialization over $X - x$ of this N -torsor. This gives the claim.

In 5.2.7 (ii) he means that \mathcal{F} is $(N_{X-\{x,y\}}, -\chi_y)$ -equivariant under the action on the factor $\mathfrak{L}_y(N)/\mathfrak{L}_y^+(N)$ by right translations (if one uses the left translations, it would be χ_y instead).

1.3.22. For 5.2.7, a question: Let N be a group ind-scheme of the form $\text{colim}_{i \in I} N_i$ with N_i pro-unipotent, for $i \rightarrow j$ in I , $N_i \rightarrow N_j$ is a placid closed immersion, and I is small filtered category. Let 0 be the initial object of I . Let S be an ind-scheme of ind-finite type. Assume given an action of N on S , and consider $(N/N_0) \times S$.

How to better identify $Shv((N/N_0) \times S)^{N \times N}$ with $Shv(S)^N$? Dennis proposed the claim that the $!$ -restriction along $S \hookrightarrow (N/N_0) \times S$ gives an equivalence

$$Shv((N/N_0) \times S)^{N \times N} \rightarrow Shv(S)^N$$

Is this correct? Consider the projection $\text{pr} : (N/N_0) \times S \rightarrow S$. Is it true that $\text{pr}^!$ identifies $Shv(S)^N$ with $Shv((N/N_0) \times S)^{N \times N}$?

1.3.23. Let G be a placid ind-scheme, and $G \in \mathfrak{Grp}(\text{PreStk})$. Let H be a group scheme of finite type over k , L be a character local system on H , $C \in (\text{Shv}(G), *) - \text{mod}$. Let $\alpha : G \rightarrow H$ be a morphism in $\mathfrak{Grp}(\text{PreStk})$. The functor $\alpha^* : \text{Shv}(H) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(G)$ does not make sense in general. However, (G, α^*L) -invariants in C make sense as follows. We have a diagram, where all the functors are monoidal

$$\text{Shv}(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \text{Shv}(H) \xrightarrow{K \mapsto K \otimes L^{-1}} \text{Shv}(H) \xrightarrow{\text{R}\Gamma(H, \cdot)} \text{Vect}$$

Write Vect_L for Vect equipped with the new $(\text{Shv}(G), *)$ -action given by the above composition. Then set

$$C^{G, \alpha^*L} = \text{Fun}_{\text{Shv}(G)}(\text{Vect}_L, C)$$

1.4. Comments to arxiv version 6.

1.4.1. In Thm. 6.4.8 the functor $\text{unit}_I^! : \text{Whit}(\mathcal{Y}_I) \rightarrow \text{Whit}(X^I \times \mathcal{Y})$ is $Shv(X^I)$ -linear, so its partially defined left adjoint has is a left-lax $Shv(X^I)$ -linear. A more precise claim is that this lax structure is strict.

1.4.2. For 6.6.3. It is claimed at the end of Section 6.6.3 that it suffices to check that for every j , $\text{Av}_I^{\mathfrak{L}_I(N), \chi_I}$ is defined on the essential image of

$$(\text{unit}_I)_! \circ \text{oblv}_{I^j, \chi_x} : Shv(X^I \times \mathcal{Y})^{I^j, \chi_x} \rightarrow Shv(\mathcal{Y}_I)$$

This has to be justified. I try to do it as follows. The point is that the group scheme $X^I \times \mathcal{L}_x(N)$ does not act on \mathcal{Y}_I . Instead, consider the map $\mathcal{H}_I^+(N)' \rightarrow X^I \times \mathcal{L}_x(N)$ and recall that the oblivion functor

$$\text{Whit}(X^I \times \mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Shv(X^I \times \mathcal{Y})^{\mathfrak{L}_x(N), \chi_x} \rightarrow Shv(X^I \times \mathcal{Y})^{\mathfrak{L}_I^+(N)', \chi_I}$$

is an equivalence. But the group scheme $\mathfrak{L}_I^+(N)'$ acts on \mathcal{Y}_I over X^I . The map $\text{unit}_I : X^I \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_I$ is $\mathfrak{L}_I^+(N)'$ -equivariant.

Now for $j \geq 1$ the functors $\mathrm{Av}_!^{\mathfrak{E}_x(N), \chi_x} \mathrm{oblv}_{I^j, \chi_x} : \mathrm{Shv}(X^I \times \mathcal{Y})^{I^j, \chi_x} \rightarrow \mathrm{Whit}(X^I \times \mathcal{Y})$ are well defined and their essential images generate $\mathrm{Whit}(X^I \times \mathcal{Y})$. Now for $K \in \mathrm{Shv}(X^I \times \mathcal{Y})^{I^j, \chi_x}$,

$$\mathrm{Av}_!^{\mathfrak{E}_x(N), \chi_x}(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Av}_!^{\mathfrak{E}_I^+(N)', \chi_I}(K) \in \mathrm{Whit}(X^I \times \mathcal{Y})$$

Now at least in the constructible context we have

$$(\mathrm{unit}_I)_! \mathrm{Av}_!^{\mathfrak{E}_I^+(N)', \chi_I}(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Av}_!^{\mathfrak{E}_I^+(N)', \chi_I}(\mathrm{unit}_I)_! K$$

naturally. Further, $\mathrm{Av}_!^{\mathfrak{E}_I(N), \chi_I} \mathrm{Av}_!^{\mathfrak{E}_I^+(N)', \chi_I} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Av}_!^{\mathfrak{E}_I(N), \chi_I}$.

1.4.3. Let G be a unipotent group scheme, $H \subset G$ a closed subgroup. Let $Y \in \mathrm{PreStk}_{\mathrm{Lft}}$ with a G -action. Let L be a character local system on G . Write $\mathrm{Av}_!^{G/H}$ for the partially defined left adjoint to $\mathrm{oblv} : \mathrm{Shv}(Y)^{G, L} \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(Y)^{H, L}$. (Recall that in the constructible context it is always defined by Section 1.3.6). Consider the map

$$a : G \times^H Y \rightarrow Y$$

coming from the action map. For $a^! : \mathrm{Shv}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(G \times^H Y)$ we have the partially defined left adjoint $a_! : \mathrm{Shv}(G \times^H Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(Y)$.

Let $\nu : G \times Y \rightarrow G \times^H Y$ be the projection. We convent that we identify

$$\mathrm{Shv}(G \times^H Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Shv}(G \times Y)^H$$

in such a way that $\mathrm{oblv} : \mathrm{Shv}(G \times Y)^H \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(G \times Y)$ corresponds to $\nu^!$. Then for $K \in \mathrm{Shv}(Y)^{H, L}$ we define $L \tilde{\boxtimes} K \in \mathrm{Shv}(G \times^H Y)$ as the object $L \boxtimes K \in \mathrm{Shv}(G \times Y)^H$ with respect to the action of $h \in H$ sending $(g, y) \in G \times Y$ to (gh^{-1}, gy) .

Claim: $\mathrm{Av}_!^{G/H}$ is defined on $K \in \mathrm{Shv}(Y)^{H, L}$ iff the functor $a_!$ is defined on $L \tilde{\boxtimes} K$.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{act} : G \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the action map. Let $\mathrm{pr}_G : G \times Y \rightarrow G$, $\mathrm{pr}_Y : G \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the projections. For $M \in \mathrm{Shv}(Y)$, $K \in \mathrm{Shv}(Y)^{H, L}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}om(L \tilde{\boxtimes} K, a^! M) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathrm{Shv}(G \times Y)}(L \boxtimes K, \mathrm{act}^! M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ &\mathcal{H}om(\mathrm{pr}_Y^* K, (\mathrm{pr}_G^! \mathbb{D}L) \otimes^! \mathrm{act}^! M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om(K, (\mathrm{pr}_Y)_*((\mathrm{pr}_G^! \mathbb{D}L) \otimes^! \mathrm{act}^! M)) \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $(\mathrm{pr}_Y)_*((\mathrm{pr}_G^! \mathbb{D}L) \otimes^! \mathrm{act}^! M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{act}_*(L \boxtimes M)[2 \dim G] \xrightarrow{\sim} L * M[2 \dim G]$. So,

$$\mathcal{H}om(L \tilde{\boxtimes} K[2 \dim G], a^! M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om(K, L * M).$$

The partially defined functor $\mathrm{Shv}(Y)^{H, L} \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(Y)$, $K \mapsto a_!(L \tilde{\boxtimes} K)[2 \dim G]$ is the partially left adjoint to $\mathrm{Shv}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(Y)^{H, L}$, $M \mapsto L * M$.

If now $M \in \mathrm{Shv}(Y)^{G, L}$ then $L * M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ and we get

$$\mathcal{H}om(L \tilde{\boxtimes} K[2 \dim G], a^! M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om(K, M)$$

Our claim follows. \square

This kind of claim is used in A.1.1 of the paper.

1.4.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Chen, Nearby cycles on Drinfeld-Gaitsgory-Vinberg interpolation grassmanian and long intertwining functor, arXiv:2008.09349
- [2] V. Drinfeld, D. Gaitsgory, Compact generation of the category of \mathcal{D} -modules on the stack of G -bundles on a curve, Cambridge J. of Math., Vol. 3 (2015) Nu. 1 - 2, 19 - 125
- [3] D. Gaitsgory, Notes on geometric Langlands: quasi-coherent sheaves on stacks.
- [4] D. Gaitsgory, Notes on geometric Langlands: generalities on DG -categories
- [5] S. Gaitsgory, Ind-coherent sheaves, Mosc. Math. J., 2013, Vol. 13, Nu. 3, 399 - 528 (and arXiv:1105.4857)
- [6] D. Gaitsgory, N. Rozenblyum, A study in derived algebraic geometry, book
- [7] D. Gaitsgory, Sheaves of categories and the notion of 1-affineness, arxiv
- [8] D. Gaitsgory, The Atiyah-Bott formula for the cohomology of the moduli space of bundles on a curve, arxiv
- [9] D. Gaitsgory, Contractibility of the space of rational maps, Inv. Math. (2013) 191: 91 - 196
- [10] D. Gaitsgory, The local and global versions of the Whittaker category, arxiv version 6
- [11] David Gepner, Rune Haugseng, Thomas Nikolaus, Lax colimits and free fibrations in ∞ -categories, arxiv
- [12] D. Halpern-Leistner, A. Preygel, Mapping stacks and categorical notion of properness, https://www.math.columbia.edu/~danhl/Weil_restriction_1.6.pdf
- [13] Gaitsgory, Lurie, Weil's conjecture for function fields
- [14] A. Joyal, R. Street, Braided monoidal categories, Macquarie Math. Report
- [15] Kapranov, Vasserot, Vertex algebras and the formal loop space, arxiv version 3
- [16] J. Lurie, Higher topos theory
- [17] J. Lurie, Higher algebra, September 18, 2017
- [18] J. Lurie, Derived Algebraic Geometry I: Stable ∞ -Categories
- [19] J. Lurie, Derived Algebraic Geometry II: Noncommutative Algebra, arxiv
- [20] J. Lurie, Derived Algebraic Geometry III: Commutative Algebra, arXiv:math/0703204
- [21] J. Lurie, $(\infty, 2)$ -Categories and the Goodwillie Calculus I, arXiv: 0905.0462
- [22] S. Lysenko, Comment to Gaitsgory-Lurie Tamagawa, my homepage
- [23] S. Lysenko, Assumptions on the sheaf theory for the 2nd joint paper with Dennis
- [24] S. Lysenko, Comment to small FLE
- [25] S. Lysenko, My notes of Embryo GL seminar, my homepage